Hepatolithiasis: clinical series, review and current management strategy
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ABSTRACT

Objective: Hepatolithiasis (HL) continues to be a problem due to its local and systemic complications, insufficiency in treatment modalities and high risk of recurrence. There are various surgical options available, ranging from endoscopic interventions to a small segment resection and ultimately to transplantation. In this article, patients with the diagnosis of HL and our treatment strategies were evaluated in the light of literature.

Material and Methods: The patients diagnosed with HL in our clinic between 2014-2019 were evaluated retrospectively by examining the patient files. Demographic characteristics of the patients, causes of the disease, complications and treatment options were evaluated.

Results: 17 patients were included into the study. Mean age of the patients was 64.3 years (range 32-89 years). Seven patients had previous cholecystectomies. Stenosis was found to be developed in hepaticojejunostomy (HJ) site in three patients (two had HJ due to bile duct injury and one had HJ following the Whipple procedure), and in hepaticoduodenostomy site in one patient who had the history of biliary tract injury during cholecystectomy. Two patients with HL without previous cholecystectomies had no gallbladder stones. Nine patients underwent surgery. Left hepatectomy was performed in two patients and lateral sector resection was performed in 2 patients. Two patients with anastomotic stenosis underwent HJ revision and two patients with anastomotic stenosis and one patient with stent ingrowth underwent bifurcation resection and neo-hepaticojejunostomy. Eight patients were followed-up nonoperatively with medical and endoscopic approaches.

Conclusion: Hepatolithiasis is a serious condition that needs to be treated with a multimodal approach. Stenting and anastomotic stenosis facilitate the development of hepatolithiasis and increase the risk of its occurrence. In particular, by performing functional hepaticojejunostomy, the development of this complication will be decreased.
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INTRODUCTION

The term primary hepatolithiasis (HL) (also known as oriental cholangiohepatitis) refers to stones in the intrahepatic bile duct prior to the bifurcation of the common bile duct. It has been known since the 16th and 17th centuries. The incidence of HL varies by country. The rate is around 2-25% in far east countries. In Taiwan, HL accounts for about 25% of the patients with gallstones. This rate is 15% in Hong Kong and 4% in Japan. The incidence in Western countries is approximately 1% (1-3). In Europe and America, the incidence of HL increases due to migrations. The global incidence has increased from 0.32/100.000 to 0.85/100.000 in the last three decades (3,4). Interestingly, in eastern countries where westernized diet has become more common, the incidence has been decreasing.

Although the exact etiology of the disease is unknown, cholestasis, biliary strictures, infection, anatomical anomalies and disorders in bile metabolism are considered as the most important predisposing factors (4-6). In addition to these, genetic mutations and ethnic differences play a role in etiology. Lipopolysaccharides have been shown to induce endogenous β-glucuronidase and c-myc release from hepatocyte and intrahepatic biliary epithelium and contribute to the formation of pigment stones. In East Asian countries, ascasis infestations especially Clonorchis sinensis as a result of raw fish consumption are responsible for 30% of the cases (7,8).
Japanese researchers have described patients with HL clinically in four different grades. According to this, patients with no clinical symptoms are classified as Grade 1, those with abdominal pain as Grade 2, patients with transient jaundice and cholangitis as Grade 3, and those with recurrent jaundice, sepsis and intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (ICCA) as Grade 4 (2). On the other hand, Liu et al. (9) have classified HL as follows; the primary type without a past surgical history as type 1, inflammatory type with previous surgery and episodes of cholangitis as type 2, complicated type that forms a mass in the liver as type 3 and terminal type with severe cirrhosis and portal hypertension as type 4. The Dong classification is based on the treatment approach. Type 1 is localized disease and type 2 contains multiple HL divided into three different subgroups. The presence of extra-liver stones in this classification is defined as type E with three subgroups (10-12). Suzuki et al. (13) have classified HL as Grade 1, 2 and 3 according to minor (over 65 years of age, jaundice > 1 week) and major (cirrhosis, HL-ICCA) factors contributing to the severity of the disease.

The first choice in the diagnosis of HL is ultrasound (US) and computed tomography (CT). Ultrasound has the advantages such as being non-invasive, practical and accessible. It is also very useful in determining the location, size, echogenicity, and shadowing characteristics of the stones. Computed tomography is performed in the identification of dilated ducts, stricture regions, masses and calcified lesions (Figure 1). With these two methods, 66-87% of the cases can be diagnosed (7,14). More detailed information on stenosis may be available with intraoperative US, endoscopic US (EUS) and intraductal US (IDUS) (15,16). A comet tail sign on the endoscopy shows the location of the stones and stenosis (14). Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) are also beneficial in the differential diagnosis of intraductal lesions, in the detection and localization of the stones (Figure 2). PET-CT can be utilized for the diagnosis of HL-ICCA-induced mass lesions and distant metastases with a ring-shaped image. The strictures in the bile ducts can be best detected by cholangiography and cholangioscopy. As long as there is no risk of atrophy or HL-ICCA in the liver, US and MRI are recommended for follow-up. Most of the cases with HL (85%) are diagnosed with preoperative imaging methods while in some cases (15%), they are diagnosed during surgery and endoscopic procedures (14-16).

![Figure 1. The ultrasonography of the liver shows (A) the stones in the left hepatic bile ducts and their reflections (acoustic shadow). Axial tomography section (B) of the same patient shows multiple stones in the left lateral sector.](image1)

![Figure 2. The axial (A) and coronal (B) sections of MRCP figures show multiple stones in the right bile ducts.](image2)
Interventional instruments (balloon, steerable catheters, forceps, lithotripsy instruments), endoscopic methods such as endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) and percutan tran-shepatic cholangiography (PTC), and surgical procedures are used in the treatment. There is not adequate data on medical treatment, it has limited efficacy especially in primary patients (10,11). In cases of HL caused by parasitic infections, anthelmintic drugs are also added to the treatment (2,8). Endoscopic methods are used primarily in treatment-resistant cases. In cases where medical treatments and endoscopic interventions are insufficient, there are surgical options ranging from operative endoscopy, anastomosis revisions, a small segment resection to liver transplantation.

Here, our approach to HL cases in the last four years was examined in the light of the literature.

**MATERIAL and METHODS**

The retrospective study protocol was approved by the institutional Ethics Committee (Number: 260, Date: 30.05.2019). A written informed consent was obtained from each patient for both treatment modalities and publication. The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Patients**

In this study, patients who were diagnosed with HL between 2014 and 2019 in our department of general surgery were included. Medical records of the patients were retrospectively evaluated, and the patients with unavailable follow-up data were excluded. The patients with choleodochal stones were also not included into the study. Demographics, comorbidities, etiology of hepatolithiasis, presenting complaint, laboratory tests, imaging results, grade of the disease, treatment methods, surgical procedures, pathology results, complications and morbidity/mortality were assessed.

**Diagnosis and Management of Hepatolithiasis**

Patients were either admitted to our clinic or referred from gastroenterology clinic. Ultrasound, CT and EUS were the initial imaging methods. Diagnosis of HL was confirmed with MRCP, ERCP and/or PTC. Brush biopsy sampling was performed in required cases.

In terms of conservative treatment, parenteral antibiotics were administered and endoscopic interventions were performed in the presence of cholangitis. Ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA) was prescribed to the patients who were candidates for nonoperative follow-up.

Failed endoscopic interventions, recurrent episodes of cholangitis despite endoscopic interventions and presence of the suspicion of malignancy constituted the indications for surgery. Hepatectomy and hepaticojejunostomy (HJ) were the performed surgical procedures. All HJs were carried out with Roux-en-Y technique. Patients were followed up with four months period of outpatient visits during the first year and then annually.

**Statistical Analysis**

Descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation, n and percentile) for discrete and continuous variables were given. The assumption of normality was tested via the Shapiro-Wilk test. Descriptive analysis was conducted via SPSS 20 (IBM Corp. Released 2011. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 20.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.).

**RESULTS**

Seventeen patients with HL were included into the study. Ten patients were females, and mean was 64.3 years (range: 32-89 years). The most common complaints were abdominal pain, intermittent jaundice and fever. Seven patients had previously undergone cholecystectomy.

Demographic data of the patients are shown in Table 1. Majority of the cases had Grade III HL according to Japanese classification (n: 12, 70.5%). Stenosis was detected in four patients. It was found to be developed in HJ site in three patients (two had HJ due to bile duct injury and one had HJ following the Whipple procedure), and in hepaticoduodenostomy site in one patient who had the history of biliary tract injury during cholecystectomy. Two patients with HL and without previous cholecystectomies had no gallbladder stones. US, EUS, MRCP, CT, PTC and recurrent ERCP methods were used for diagnostic and therapeutic purposes.

Surgical treatment was required in nine patients. Left hepatectomy was performed in two patients and lateral sector resection was performed in two patients (Figure 3). Among the four patients with anastomotic stenosis, two underwent HJ revision and the remaining two underwent bifurcation resection and neo-hepaticojejunostomy (collector type portoenterostomy). Collector type portoenterostomy was also performed in one patient with metallic stent ingrowth. One patient underwent laparoscopic cholecystectomy and was followed-up. Most common postoperative complication was surgical site infection which occurred in four patients, and bile fistula accompanied one of them. Postoperative mortality did not occur in any patient.

Eight patients were followed-up nonoperatively with medical and endoscopic approaches. Three of these patients underwent stone extraction and stenting with ERCP and were followed-up with repeated ERCPs. One patient without any further symptoms and clinical problems, one patient who had been receiving medical treatment due to thymoma and one patient who did not consent to operation were followed up conservatively. An 89-year-old patient died due to cholangiohepatitis and sepsis. A patient who was scheduled for a left hepatectomy awaited the remission from the current systemic disease (Pemphigus vulgaris). Recurrent cholangitis was the most common complication among the patients who underwent nonoperative management (n: 5).
### Table 1. Demographic data of the patients with Hepatolithiasis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Diagnosis/Grade (*)</th>
<th>Etiology/Comorbidities</th>
<th>Intervention/Treatment</th>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>Follow up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>L HL (2013) (Grade III)</td>
<td>CL + CDL</td>
<td>ERCP fail, PTC + Stenting Cholecystectomy + Left hepatectomy (2015)</td>
<td>SSI Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L HL (2013) (Grade III)</td>
<td>CL + Left portal vein thrombosis (?)</td>
<td>Cholecystectomy + Left hepatectomy (2015)</td>
<td>- Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>L HL (2011) (Grade III)</td>
<td>Cholecystectomy (2001)</td>
<td>ERCP (9) + EST + Baloon + Stenting (2014) Operation (Left hepatectomy) refused</td>
<td>Cholangitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>R HL (2015) (Grade III)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ERCP (3) + EST + Baloon + Stenting (2016) + UDCA</td>
<td>Cholangitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R&amp;L HL (2013) (Grade II)</td>
<td>Cholecystectomy (2010)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Left liver atrophy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Segment 6-7 (2016) (Grade I)</td>
<td>Cholecystectomy (2016)</td>
<td>ERCP (2)+ EST + Baloon + UDCA</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L HL (2015) (Grade III)</td>
<td>Bullous pemphigoid + Pemphigus vulgaris (Steroid treatment)</td>
<td>ERCP + EST + Baloon (2017) Operation (Left hepatectomy) suggested ?</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Classification (Japan), ** Hepatico-cutaneous jejunostomy.
Mean duration of follow-up was 43 months (range: 24-70). None of the patients who underwent surgical treatment developed any late postoperative complication or recurrence.

DISCUSSION

The main principle in the treatment of HL is the removal of stones, correction of related strictures and prevention of recurrent cholangitis. Stenosis of the biliary tract is the main cause of stone formation, recurrence, and failure of treatment (8,17,18). In patients with untreated HL, lethal complications, which may vary from cholestasis and cholangitis to sepsis, cirrhosis, and ICCA may develop. Depending on the duration of follow-up, it is reported that 3.7% - 14.1% of HL cases develop biliary cirrhosis and 3.3-21.2% develop HL-related intrahepatic cholangiocellular carcinoma (HL-ICCA) (4,13,19-21).

As a result of recurrent cholestasis and cholangitis episodes, biliary cirrhosis develops due to stenosis that occurs in the ducts as a result of fibrosis. Chen et al. (22) have found that a precancerous lesion of biliary tract, which is called intraductal papillary neoplasia, is encountered in 30% of HL cases (23,24). Presence of HL is considered as a precancerous lesion for ICCA (4). Biliary intraepithelial neoplasia, a precancerous lesion in the areas close to the lesion, is also frequently detected in the specimens of patients undergoing resection for HL-ICCA. It has been shown that c-erbB2, epidermal growth factor (EGFR), COX-2 and nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) which are markers of prolonged inflammation are higher in cases developing HL-ICCA (23, 25). p16 and DPC4/Smad4 genes which are tumor suppressor genes are frequently inactivated in patients with HL-ICCA (26). It should be kept in mind that the risk of ICCA is higher in patients with biliary stricture, liver atrophy, high levels of CA 19-9, in cases of HL located on the left side, in the presence of microabscess and in patients with choledochoenterostomies (4,13,21,27). The risk of tumor increases in bilateral HL cases (28,29).

There is very little clinical data on the medical treatment of HL. There is not yet a suitable drug for HL which is rich in pigment in the majority. However, there are limited clinical studies on the effect of UDCA and kenodeoxycholic acid (CDCA) for cholesterol stones which present in 15% of HL cases (30-34). In their series of 3 cases of Caroli syndrome, Ros et al. (32) achieved partial cure in 9 patients and full recovery in 3 patients with extracorporeal shock-wave lithotripsy (ESWL) and UDCA therapy. There are many cases reported to benefit from ESWL+UDCA, and with only UDCA in the series of 53 patients by Guma et al. (35). Regarding this subject, in their evidence-based clinical practice study from Japan, Tazuma et al. (30) have pointed out that medical treatment cannot be recommended (Strength of recommendation degree is 2 -%100) (31). However, algorithms related to UDCA and CDCA use have been determined especially in cholestrol-rich stones and in some special clinical situations. Accordingly, it has been reported that the stones disappear in 25% of HL cases with Caroli syndrome with 6-12 months of UDCA treatment, and it diminishes 75% of the stones. In addition, UDCA administration has been reported to prevent relapse in HL patients with MDR3 deficiency (a genetic disorder causing intrahepatic cholestasis). UDCA has also been reported to be used in HL cases with cholesterol oversaturation and negative X-rays (30, 31). There are studies reporting that the use of UDCA in patients with HL prevents the development of HL-ICCA (35,36). De Vries and Beuers (33) stated that UDCA is the standard treatment for cholestasis due to primary biliary cholangitis (PBC) and primary

Figure 3. Left hepatectomy specimen (A) shows yellow-green multiple stones in the left hepatic main bile ducts and lateral sector specimen (B) shows multiple black stones in the bile ducts of segment 3.
Figure 4. Management strategy for hepatolithiasis symptoms including bile stones, jaundice, cholangitis and also stricture as a reason. *Oral, percutaneous or T-tube line cholangioscopy (optional) and interventive procedures including balloon dilatation, stenting and stone extractions. Tx: Transplantation. UDCA: Ursodeoxycholic acid.
sclerosing cholangitis (PSC). UDCA was used in 4 patients (1 revised HJ and 3 medical follow-ups) in our series.

Until the 1970s, HL treatment consisted of cholecystectomy, extraction of stones in the main bile ducts and T-tube application. A significant improvement has been achieved in treatment when Nakamura used choledochoscopy to remove residual biliary calculi (37). Surgical treatment was the first choice until 2000, but with the increase of the use of choledochoscope and ERCP, the need for surgical treatment started to decrease. Irrigation of the biliary tract, removal of the stones by endoscopic instruments and steerable catheters and percutaneous lithotripsy are used for treatment. In the last decade, surgical treatment is required in 33-77% of HL cases depending on the centers and technological resources (7,28,37). Surgical procedures were required in half of the cases in our series. Lorio et al., in 2020, offered endoscopic or combined interventional radiology/endoscopy management as a first line treatment in HL since these interventions had relatively lower complication rates (38). Surgery was proposed as a secondary choice in this study when minimally invasive interventions failed. In our study, all cases initially underwent ERCP and PTC when possible.

Strictures are tried to be treated primarily by endoscopic methods (Figure 4). For this purpose, first, balloon dilatation, bougie dilatation, and needle-knife electrocautery can be used. Extraction of the stones behind the stenosis by using a basket can expand the area of the stenosis. It may also be necessary to place a stent in the stubborn stenosis areas of the main bile duct (39). In the four cases from our series (25%), HL developed as a result of the stenosis after a bile duct operation. In all of these patients, recurrent ERCP or PTC procedures were not sufficient due to recurrent stones and cholangitis so, a corrective surgery was performed.

Nowadays, removal of the stones by sphincterotomy, choledochoscopy and basket with ERCP and by lithotripsy (pneumatic, hyperacoustic, electrohydrolc or laser) are the most commonly used methods (Figure 4). Although there are very few studies, it has been reported that stones may disintegrate with ESWL in 60-90% of the cases that have no bile duct stenosis (31). The removal of the disintegrated stones by saline irrigation facilitates the procedure. Choledochoscopy can be performed from the normal gastrointestinal tract (per-oral) and as well as from the T-tube tract. For the cholangioscopy performed from the T-tube tract, the T tube should be kept for at least 4 weeks in normal patients and for 12 weeks in cachectic or diabetic patients (14). Endoscopic approaches may be preferred due to the risk of insufficient liver residue after hepatectomy or the fact that HL is bilateral. ERCP should be preferred in cases with stones in the main bile duct (Figure 4). In their series with 42 permanent access (hepatico-cutaneous jejunoctomy) cases, Kassem et al. (40) have reported that they successfully treated remnant stones and recurrent stones (40). Choi et al. (1) have reported that the addition of permanent access (hepatico-cutaneous jejunoctomy) to the treatment, especially in patients with previous HJs, would be very useful in the treatment of stenoses that will occur in later stages and the removal of stones. They stated that repeating the choledochoscopy procedure with an interval of 5-7 days and cleaning off the mud and small particles with continuous saline irrigation is much more effective. Recurrent ERCP procedures were performed for diagnosis and treatment in 7 of our patients. The most common complaints after cholangioscopy and stone extraction are pain and fever, and antibiotics and transamines are recommended in the treatment because of the risk of cholangitis and bleeding (1,8,14). In Japanese surveys, 22% of the patients (range from 5 to 54) have been reported to develop recurrence, cholangitis, abscess, and ultimately HL-ICCA cancer after cholangioscopy (31). In a series of 396 patients followed by an average of 308 months by Suzuki et al., 118 patients died and the most common cause of death was HL-ICCA in 25 (21.2%) patients. This was followed by deaths due to liver cirrhosis (11 patients, 9.3%), lung diseases (10 patients, 8.5%) and cholangitis + liver abscesses (9 patients, 7.6%) (36).

On the other hand, it is known that reflux caused by laxation in the Oddi sphincter after sphincterotomy with ERCP increases the risk of development of cholangitis and HL. For this reason, it is recommended to perform balloon dilatation first and then sphincterotomy in cases when necessary (20). In cases where the biliary tract is enlarged, percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage (PTBD) which was first described by Mondet in 1962, may be preferred in opening of the strictures and extraction of the stones (14,40,41). Shin et al. (42) stated that there were some disadvantages of sphincterotomy with ERCP and developed the PTBD method (balloon sphincterotomy and flushing technique) and published a large series. In their large series with 916 cases, they reported that they entered the canal with the PTBD technique, they performed sphincterotomy with a balloon and completely cleaned the stones by using the flushing technique in 92.3% of the cases.

In the treatment of primary HL, even though there are many technological procedures, there is still a condition of being insufficient. Despite all technological interventions, residual stones or recurrent stones occur in 15-59% of the cases (43). The presence of biliary stricture, impacted calculi, and unreached peripheral calculi are the main reasons for the failure of the procedure (1,14). Many alternative methods have been tried and continue to be tried as a result of the deficiencies in treatment.

In HL surgery, interventions targeting the etiology should be firstly performed. These etiologies can be biliary strictures and anastomosis strictures secondary to past operations (14). The use of an operative cholangioscope in patients undergoing surgery to remove stones will facilitate the clearance of the bile...
ducts. The rate of residual HL after hepatectomy is 15.6% (14). In patients with postoperative stones, stones can be removed in 60-90% of the cases by postoperative cholecdochoscopy and endoscopic lithotripsy (14,17,18). After all treatment modalities, the bile ducts can be cleared from stones in 95% of cases (29,44). Eight cases in our series were treated with endoscopic methods, and their follow-up and treatment continue.

Partial resections, cholecystectomy, choledocholithotomy, choledochojunostomy or T-tube placement have been preferred for many years when the location of the stones cannot be detected. Hepatectomy seems to be the most effective treatment since the stenotic area causing the stones is removed. Hepatectomy should be preferred in patients who cannot undergo stone extraction, who have abscess resistant to treatment, especially in patients with left lobe localization and in patients with atrophy and fibrosis (6,10,14,45,46). Liver resection (two left lobe and two lateral sector) was performed in four of nine patients who underwent surgery in our series (Table 1). Two of the four patients who had previously undergone HJ underwent anastomosis revision, and a patient who developed stent ingrowth and another patient with anastomotic stenosis underwent aggressive resection and portoenterostomy. In another patient with HJ and bilateral HL due to biliary tract trauma, anastomotic revision, permanent access and stone extractions were performed, and the patient was observed without any complications for three years.

On the other hand, there are different approaches regarding liver resection. Feng et al. (10) have stated that Dong type 1 and type 2b patients were good candidates for hepatectomy and they recommended HJ for patients with extrahepatic stones (type E). Kim et al. (4) have recommended lobectomy for patients suffering from HL for more than 10 years, due to the difficulties in the differential diagnosis and the risk of ICCA. However, there is not enough information about whether the operation has a protective effect on the risk of HL-ICCA development in those who undergo lobectomy. If there is no liver reserve problem in HL-ICCA cases, hepatectomy and regional lymph node dissection are the initial treatment option (Figure 4). In patients undergoing resection for HL-ICCA, 1-year survival rate is 58% and in the 5th-year, this rate decreases to 10.6% (7). Surgical margin negativity (>1 cm) is one of the most important factors affecting survival positively (47,48). In Zhu et al.’s (49) series of 38 patients with curative resection (R0), 1st and 5th year survival rates have been confirmed as 71% and 50%, respectively. As 40% of patients with HL-ICCA developed satellite lesions, there are also centers that prefer to have a larger hepatectomy (47,48). Since survival is much higher in lymph node-negative patients than positive ones, regional lymph node dissection is recommended (50). The effect of adjuvant chemotherapy on survival is insufficient (4,7,47).

Hepatectomies can be performed by laparotomy, laparoscopy and robotic methods. Laparoscopic hepatectomy is a technique that can be used safely in both lobes, and it is the most preferred and recommended method especially for the cases localized in the left lobe or lateral sector (51). With the help of three-divisional visualization system (3DVS), the anatomy of the liver is revealed and it is possible to clearly reveal the location of the stenosis, stone, anomaly, and dilatation. In hepatectomies performed using 3DVS, it has been reported that more stones can be cleaned by using the rigid cholec scope during the procedure (43,52). It has been also reported that palliative resection procedures in the treatment of HL have a positive effect on survival (53,54). The mortality rate of surgical treatment varies between 4-10% (1).

According to the Dong classification, patients with Type IIc HL are candidates for liver transplantation. Transplantation is the only choice in patients with HL resulting in liver failure (10,55). In patients who are resistant to treatment or in patients who cannot be operated, chemical hepatectomy may be tried by chemical bile duct embolization (CBDE) with experimentally proven chemical substances. However, there is a very limited number of clinical trials on this subject (56-58).

In conclusion, prevention of cholangitis attacks, prevention of strictures and development of ICCA should be prioritized in the treatment of HL patients. Endoscopy, radiology and surgical modalities should be applied with a multidisciplinary approach in the diagnosis and treatment of the disease. Treatment with endoscopic procedures and technological hand tools should be recommended first. Surgical resection should be the first choice in cases that develop atrophy, abscess, and ICCA. Efforts should be made to avoid HL due to its serious morbidity and serious adverse effects on life comfort. For this purpose, in addition to the prevention of biliary tract trauma, reconstruction and monitoring in experienced centers should be recommended. In patients with a high risk of stenosis, permanent access may be added to the procedure to facilitate recurrent endoscopic interventions. In order to prevent reflux to the biliary tract, it is more appropriate to perform hepaticojjunostomies in Roux-en-Y style.
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ÖZET


Sonuç: Hepatolitiasis multimedial yaklaşma tedavi edilmesi gereken ciddi bir durumdur. Stent uygulaması ve anastomoz darlığı hepatolitiasis gelişimini kolaylaştırır ve görünme riskini artırırktadır. Özellikle fonksiyonel hepatikojejunostomilerin yapılması bu komplikasyonun gelişimini azaltacaktır.
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