Transplant nephrectomy with peritoneal window: Georgetown University experience
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ABSTRACT

Objective: Transplant nephrectomy is a technically challenging procedure with high complication rates. Morbidity and mortality are mostly due to hemorrhage or infection and are reported to be 17-60% and 1-39%, respectively. The most common surgical technique for transplant nephrectomy is sub-capsular, extraperitoneal approach which may result in fluid accumulation and subsequent super-infection. We report that intraperitoneal approach, after assuring hemostasis of the transplant pedicle, allows for passive drainage, decreases hematoma formation and minimizes the subsequent infection risk in the nephrectomy bed.

Material and Methods: From July 2009 to July 2014 a total of 38 transplant nephrectomies were performed using the intraperitoneal window technique at Georgetown University MedStar Transplant Institute (MGTI). Data was collected retrospectively.

Results: Average age at the time of transplant nephrectomy was 43.9 ± 14.3, and the majority were male (55.3%). Mean time to nephrectomy was 71.7 ± 67.4 months following transplantation. Indications for nephrectomy included pain, hematuria, fever, and recalcitrant rejection. Average operative time was 97.1 ± 28.9 minutes, average blood loss was 172.5 ± 213.6 mL. A total of 9 (24%) complications occurred. Postoperative blood transfusion was the most common complication (15.7%) followed by 2 (5.3%) re-interventions; one take back for hematoma and one percutaneous drain placement for symptomatic fluid collection. We had no infection, postoperative sepsis, ICU admissions, or mortality.

Conclusion: Transplant nephrectomy with peritoneal window is a technique with better results compared to the literature. An opening between the transplant cavity and the peritoneum allows for passive drainage of fluid and minimizes the risk of hematoma and abscess formation. This approach does not add significant time to the operation, furthermore it may decrease morbidity and mortality by reducing overall complications, namely hematoma formation and infection, which overall decreases rates of re-interventions and length of hospital stay.
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INTRODUCTION

Renal transplant has a failure rate of 12-22% by three years, and 44-59% by 10 years (1). A failed transplant provokes an inflammatory response which increases morbidity and mortality. It also incites symptomatic intolerance in patients (2,3). Therefore, anywhere between 4.5-84% of those failed renal grafts require transplant nephrectomy (4). Although there are different opinions on the timing of transplant nephrectomy, current consensus is that removal of the failed graft is appropriate when symptoms are intractable (4-8).

The most common indication for transplant nephrectomy is symptomatic chronic rejection (58.2%) which can cause graft tenderness, hematuria, fever, and persistent anemia (9,10). An obvious benefit of nephrectomy is the relief of symptoms from allograft rejection or failure. Lopez-Gomez et al. have demonstrated attenuated inflammatory response after removal of a failed allograft when compared to failed graft patients treated with hemodialysis only. They have found decreased inflammatory markers, improved hypoalbuminemia, and decreased erythropoietin resistance (2). Additionally, Ayus et al. have reviewed 10,951 patients and reported that transplant nephrectomy improves survival after graft failure. After adjusting for socioeconomic status, comorbidities and donor characteristics, a 32% decrease in all-cause mortality has been found in transplant nephrectomy group compared to patients keeping the failed allograft (11).
Although transplant nephrectomy has been shown to improve survival and relieve symptoms after failed kidney transplant, it remains a technically demanding procedure that carries high morbidity (17-60%) and mortality (1.5-14%) due to the poor health and comorbid conditions that often accompany transplant patients as well as operative complications (11-13). Alberts et al. have reviewed 157 transplant nephrectomies performed from 2000 to 2012, indicating 32 surgical complications (20%), with postoperative bleeding, hematoma formation, and infection being the most common causes. Surgical re-intervention was necessary in 10% of the cases. Other reported complications are colonic perforation, cardio-pulmonary complications, and sepsis (1,15).

The most commonly performed surgical technique for transplant nephrectomy is subcapsular extra peritoneal approach. Performing the procedure in a closed extraperitoneal space may result in fluid accumulation and subsequent infection. Immune-compromised patients are inevitably prone to develop septic complications in the presence of hematoma or seroma. We hypothesize that creating an intraperitoneal window, after assuring hemostasis of the transplant pedicle, allows for passive drainage, decreases hematoma formation and minimizes the risk of infection, sepsis, and the need for re-interventions.

M A T E R I A L  a n d  M E T H O D S

From July 2009 to July 2014, a total of 38 transplant nephrectomies using the intraperitoneal window technique were performed by two transplant surgeons at Medstar Georgetown Transplant Institute. IRB approval was obtained. Inclusion criteria for the study was any adult patient with prior kidney transplant undergoing transplant nephrectomy. Patient data was collected retrospectively, including patient characteristics, allograft characteristics, nephrectomy indications, operative data, hospital course, and complications.

All transplant nephrectomies were performed using the standard subcapsular approach (Figure 1). We modified the standard double layer pedicle closure. Following excision of the specimen from the pedicle, any visible vessel was closed with figure of eight using #2-0 silk suture (Figure 2). This was followed with a double layer of running #2-0 prolene suture (Figure 3). In order to achieve adequate hemostasis, any capsular bleeding was controlled with electrocautery. Following this, the peritoneal window was created. The window was made large enough to avoid risk of internal hernia formation and bowel entrapment (Figure 4). Postoperative surgical complications were the primary outcome, and complications were graded using the Clavien-Dindo System (17).

**Figure 1.** The allograft is enucleated from the renal capsule attached only with the renal pedicle.

**Figure 2.** The pedicle is controlled with a vascular clamp and graft is excised.

**Figure 3.** Control of the pedicle. Visible vessels are sutured with figure of eight, using #2-0 silk followed by running #2-0 Prolene.
Results
A total of 38 patients underwent transplant nephrectomy with the technique described in the ‘methods’ section. The average age of the patients was 43.92 ± 14.3 years and 55.3% of the patients were male (Table 1). Indications for nephrectomy included persistent and symptomatic acute and chronic transplant rejection, with fever (26.3%), pain (71.0%), hematuria (57.9%), leukocytosis (5.3%) (Table 2). The average time from transplant to nephrectomy was 71.76 ± 67.47 months. Operative time was average of 97.1 ± 28.9 minutes with an average estimated blood loss of 172.5 ± 213.6 mL. Mean length of hospital stay was 3 ± 1.7 days (Table 3).

A total of 9 (24%) complications occurred. Postoperative blood transfusion was the most common complication (15.7%). Two (5.6%) patients required re-intervention: one take back to operating room for hematoma wash out, one patient required percutaneous drain placement for symptomatic fluid collection. Other complications included fever (1), pneumonia (1) and ileus (1). No infections, post-operative sepsis, ICU admissions, or mortalities occurred. A total of 18.4% patients had low grade (Clavien-Dindo class 1 and 2) complications, whereas high grade complications (Clavien-Dindo class 3 or higher) occurred in 5.2% patients (Table 4).

Discussion
Intraperitoneal window formation during transplant nephrectomy is a novel surgical approach designed to reduce complications and decrease morbidity and mortality. We believe creating a passage for passive fluid drainage from the nephrectomy cavity into the peritoneal cavity decreases the rate of fluid collection as well as infection risk, which are the two most common complications that occur after transplant nephrectomy.

Previous studies have discussed a wide variety of complication rates, ranging from 17%-60% (3). Three studies were focused for comparison of transplant nephrectomy complications. Mazzucchli et al. reported 70 transplant nephrectomies between 1994 and 2002, with 18.5% of complication rate with 8.6% hematoma formations, 10% fluid collections, 7.1% infections, 10% re-interventions, and 1.4% mortality. Additionally, 44.3% of patients received blood transfusions, which was not classified as a complication in the study and would increase the complication rate considerably if this was included (18).

Similarly, Secin et al. reviewed 91 transplant nephrectomies from 1970 to 2000, reporting 48.3% complication rate, including 15.4% hematoma formation, 9.9% fever, 7.6% sepsis, 4.4% wound infection, 10.9% re-interventions, and these were all for either hematoma formation or infection. They had 7% mortality rate (4).
Lastly Alberts et al. reported 25% complication rate for 157 transplant nephrectomies from 2000-2012, with 9% infection rate, 14% hematoma rate, and 10% rate of re-intervention, most of which was for hematoma formation. Mortality rate was 3.2% (1).

When compared to our 38 patients with intraperitoneal windows, all three studies had much higher rates of complications, hematoma formations and fluid collections, infections, as well as re-intervention rate and mortality (Table 5).

Peritoneal window formation is an additional step in the surgical procedure that adds minimal amount of time to the total operation. In fact, the 38 nephrectomies with intraperitoneal window formation had a shorter average operative time (97.1 vs. 109.39 minutes), lower average estimated blood loss (172.5 vs. 509.2 mL), and shorter average hospital stay (3 vs. 7 days) than previously reported studies. Potential downsides of this technique could be bleeding into the peritoneal cavity, which is much harder to tamponade compared to the retroperitoneal space, therefore we advocate meticulous hemostasis. Other potential risk may be bowel obstruction; however, we advocate large opening of the peritoneum in order to minimize this risk.

Limitations of this study include small sample size, and inherent limitations of a retrospective analysis without control cohort.

In conclusion, intraperitoneal window formation is a novel surgical technique for transplant nephrectomy that adds minimal time to the operation and appears to have a much lower morbidity and mortality rate.

Prospective and controlled studies are necessary in order to obtain more reliable results; however, low levels of complications and zero mortality observed in this series compared to literature is suggestive of possibly superior outcome of this technique.
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**Table 4. Complications**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>N= 38 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total no. of complications</td>
<td>9 (23.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematoma</td>
<td>1 (2.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reintervention</td>
<td>2 (5.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications grading according to Clavien-Dindo system</th>
<th>N= 38 (%)</th>
<th>Actual Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade I</td>
<td>1 (2.6%)</td>
<td>Ileus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade II</td>
<td>6 (15.7%)</td>
<td>Blood transfusion intraoperative, fever, pneumonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade III</td>
<td>2 (5.2%)</td>
<td>1 take back to OR, 1 drain placement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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ÖZET


Sonuç: Intraperitoneal tekniğin pasif drenaj ve/veya absorbsiyon yolu ile hematom ya da enfeksiyon oluşumunu, dolayısıyla mortalite ve morbiditeyi azalttığı görüşündeyiz.
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