Routine histopathological examination of gallbladder specimens after cholecystectomy: Is it time to change the current practice?
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: Routine histopathological examination of all gallbladder specimens, regardless of the clinical characteristics of the patient or macroscopic aspect of the gallbladder, is the current approach to detect the presence of gallbladder carcinoma. The aim of the present study was to assess whether or not it would be safe to adopt a policy of processing only gallbladder specimens with preoperative or intraoperative suspicion for malignancy without compromising patient safety.

Material and methods: From January 2009 to June 2017, all histopathology reports of 3423 consecutive gallbladder specimens after elective and emergency cholecystectomies were retrospectively analyzed in two university hospitals.

Results: A total of 3423 gallbladder specimens submitted for histopathological examination during the study period were included in the study. The results of histopathological examination of these gallbladder specimens showed that chronic cholecystitis was found in 2792 (81.6%), acute cholecystitis in 237 (6.9%), and cholesterolosis in 223 (6.5%) patients. Dysplasia was found in 5 (0.14%) patients, and gallbladder carcinoma was detected in 4 (0.11%) patients. All patients with gallbladder carcinoma were diagnosed either preoperatively or intraoperatively, and none of the patients with gallbladder carcinoma were diagnosed from the histopathological examination.

Conclusion: A strategy of selective approach for histopathological examination of gallbladder specimens may be safe in areas with very low incidence of gallbladder carcinoma. Such selective strategy is more cost-effective, reduces the workload of pathologists, and does not appear to compromise patient outcome.

Keywords: Gallbladder cancer, gallbladder specimen, histopathological examination, incidental finding

INTRODUCTION

Cholecystectomy is one of the most common abdominal surgical procedures performed worldwide (1). It is standard practice to submit all gallbladder specimens for routine histopathological examination (HPE) postoperatively, regardless of whether or not there are any grossly visible abnormalities, to exclude unexpected gallbladder cancer (GBC) (2). GBC is a rare disease with a dismal prognosis (3). The incidence of GBC varies widely among different geographical regions and ethnic groups. Rates may differ even inside a region or a country. Northern India and Pakistan, East Asia, South America, and Eastern Europe are found to have the highest rates of GBC (1). Incidental GBC is found in 0.2%–2.9% of all cholecystectomies performed for gallstone disease (4, 5). Patients with incidental GBC diagnosed with stages Tis and T1a can be treated by simple cholecystectomy alone. Patients with stage T1b and beyond should undergo further surgical treatment (2, 5). However, several recent studies have questioned the necessity for routine HPE of all gallbladder specimens. The main debate on selective versus routine histological assessment of gallbladder specimens is based on findings of incidental GBC (6). Currently, there is an emerging trend to consider selective HPE of cholecystectomy specimens removed for benign gallbladder disease.

The aim of the present study was to assess whether or not it would be safe to adopt a policy of processing only gallbladder specimens with preoperative or intraoperative suspicion for malignancy without compromising patient safety.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

From January 2009 to June 2017, all histopathology reports of 3423 consecutive gallbladder specimens after elective and emergency cholecystectomies were retrospectively analyzed in two university hospitals, Benghazi medical centre and Al-Jala hospital in Benghazi, Libya. The study was performed according to the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki.

Patient data on age, sex, and histopathological diagnosis were recorded. Incidental GBC is defined as GBC identified only after HPE (1, 6). The term incidental GBC was not used when GBC was suspected on preoperative imaging (ultrasound and/or computed tomography), intraoperative, or opening of the gallbladder specimen.
Statistical Analysis
All statistical analyses were performed by using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 18.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Data were analyzed using the chi-square test.

RESULTS
Of the 3423 gallbladder specimens submitted for HPE during the study period, 486 were males (14.2%), and 2937 were females (85.8%). The median age of the patients was 40 (14–93) years. Chronic cholecystitis was found in 2792 (81.6%) patients, acute cholecystitis in 237 (6.9%) patients, and cholesterosis in 223 (6.5%) patients (Table 1). Dysplasia was observed in 5 (0.14%) patients, and GBC was detected in 4 (0.11%) patients.

All patients with GBC were diagnosed either preoperatively or intraoperatively. Two cases were diagnosed by ultrasound and computed tomography, showing abnormalities in the gallbladder wall with suspicion of malignancy. The other two cases had intraoperative findings suggestive of GBC and were confirmed subsequently by HPE as primary GBC. All of the four malignant specimens were reported as adenocarcinomas from the HPE. Two patients were found to have T2 lesions, and 2 patients had T3 lesions (Table 2).

DISCUSSION
There has been controversy in the literature regarding the routine or selective HPE of gallbladder specimens when a cholecystectomy is performed for benign gallbladder diseases. The main debate by those studies that suggest selective HPE is that first, it is unlikely to have an incidental GBC in a normal-looking gallbladder specimen (2, 3, 7-15). Second, unexpected early GBCs (stages Tis and T1a), which may look normal on gross examination, do not require further treatment as a simple cholecystectomy is adequate. Third, routine HPE of all gallbladder specimens overburdens the histopathology department and hospital resources (6).

Studies recommending selective HPE observed that the possibility of missing an early cancer diagnosis is very low, and that almost all incidental GBCs are associated with findings on gross examination of the gallbladder specimen. Bazoua et al. (7), Emmett et al. (8), and Darmas et al. (9) reported incidental GBC rates of 0.17% (5/2890), 0.25% (12/4776), and 0.27% (4/1452), respectively. Tayeb et al. (10) noted incidental GBC in only 3 out of 426 (0.70%) cases. All cases of incidental GBC in these studies had a macroscopically abnormal gallbladder; hence, these studies suggest that it is safe to adopt a selective approach to HPE. Furthermore, Deng et al. (2) found 46 (0.32%) patients with GBC out of 14,369 cholecystectomy specimens, of which only 2 patients with stages Tis and T1a did not show suspicious lesions on preoperative and intraoperative findings.

Some studies showed that it may be justified to exclude gallbladder specimens from the HPE by using macroscopic examination. van Vliet et al. (11) showed that of the 1375 gallbladder specimens examined macroscopically, not one incidental GBC is found. Of the 185 (13.5%) specimens of all gallbladder specimens that showed macroscopic abnormalities for which they would require further HPE in case of a selective policy, GBC was found in 6 specimens.

Similarly, in the study by Mittal et al. (12) of 1305 patients, incidental GBC was found in 13 patients out of 610 macroscopically abnormal gallbladder specimens. In a macroscopically normal gallbladder specimen, no cases of GBC were found.

Our study showed that all patients with GBC were diagnosed either preoperatively or intraoperatively, and none of the patients with GBC were diagnosed from the HPE.

There has been a concern about the presence of early GBC in a normal-looking gallbladder specimen. However, a simple cho-
Of 107 specimens, and Ghimire et al. (19) found 2 patients with stage T2 disease out of 5 incidental GBCs in a series of 783 specimens. Ul Haq et al. (18) showed 2 patients with stage T2 disease and 3 stage T3 disease out of 9 incidental GBCs in 668 gallbladder specimens. Shrestha et al. (17) reported 1 stage T2 disease and 3 stage T3 disease out of 9 incidental GBCs in 668 gallbladder specimens. Siddiqui et al. (16) identified incidental GBC cases, 5 cases had growth (2 fungating mass and 3 solid gray white mass), 2 cases had an irregular mucosa, 1 case had a contracted gallbladder, and 1 case had a thick fibrosed wall.

Recent studies recommended patients’ age as an additional factor for selecting specimens for HPE of gallbladder specimens. Elshaer et al. (13) suggested that age should also be used to select gallbladder specimens that should be submitted to HPE as all patients with cancer in their study are above 51 years. This could aid in combination with the intraoperative appearance of the gallbladder to identify those specimens requiring histopathological analysis, especially in an area with a lower incidence of incidental GBCs. Similarly, Romero-González et al. (14) considered the age of ≥60 years as one of the risk factors for GBC. In their study, the surgeon first identifies the risk factors for GBC and then performs a macroscopic analysis of the gallbladder specimen just after surgery. All three histopathologically confirmed GBCs in their study were suspected by the surgeon following macroscopic analysis. Furthermore, Wrenn et al. (15) concluded that selective screening based on risk factors (including older patients), intraoperative findings, and on-table examination of the specimen may be a feasible and more cost-effective alternative to universal screening.

On the other hand, studies that recommend routine HPE of gallbladder specimens are based mainly on the identification of high rates of incidental GBCs (16–21) and also need additional treatment. Siddiqui et al. (16) identified incidental GBC in 6 specimens out of 220 cholecystectomy specimens, of which 3 patients with advanced stages (T2 and T3) underwent revision surgery. Shrestha et al. (17) reported 1 stage T2 disease and 3 stage T3 disease out of 9 incidental GBCs in 668 cholecystectomy specimens. Ul Haq et al. (18) showed 2 patients with stage T2 disease out of 5 incidental GBCs in a series of 107 specimens, and Ghimire et al. (19) found 2 patients with stage T2 disease out of 10 incidental GBCs in a series of 783 specimens.

Table 3. Studies recommending routine or selective histopa-thological examination of gallbladder specimens in different countries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>Deng et al. (2)</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Selective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>Tayeb et al. (10)</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Selective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Siddiqui et al. (16)</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Routine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ul Haq et al. (18)</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Routine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Emmett et al. (8)</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Selective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elshaer et al. (13)</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Selective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bazoua et al. (7)</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Selective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Darmas et al. (9)</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Selective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>van Vliet et al. (11)</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Selective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>Kalita et al. (20)</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Routine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hamdani et al. (21)</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Routine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Behari et al. (1)</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Routine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mittal et al. (12)</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Selective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Romero-González et al. (14)</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Selective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nepal</td>
<td>Ghimire et al. (19)</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Routine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shrestha et al. (17)</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Routine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td>De Zoysa et al. (3)</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Selective</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We recommend that in all patients undergoing cholecystectomy for gallstone disease, the gallbladder specimen should be opened and examined for macroscopic abnormalities before deciding to submit the specimen for HPE. Based on patient characteristics and macroscopic appearance of the gallbladder, it appears safe to adopt a selective approach for those specimens with preoperative or intraoperative suspicion for malignancy, especially in areas with very low incidence of GBC.

Our study has some limitations. First, this is a retrospective study. Second, the patient population is associated with a single region in Libya, which may not reflect the demographics of other regions and other medical centers throughout the country. Hence, a prospective, multicenter study is required in order to safely modify the existing guideline.

CONCLUSION
A policy of selective approach for HPE of gallbladder specimens may be safe in areas with very low incidence of GBC. Such selective approach is more cost-effective, decreases the workload of the histopathology department, and does not appear to compromise patient outcome.
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