Laparoscopic partial cecum resection in appendix intussusception
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INTRODUCTION
Appendix intussusception (AI), which is one of the rare types of intussusception, is seen in 0.01% of the patients who underwent appendectomy (1). Anatomical changes such as partially mobile meso-appendix or large proximal appendicular lumen may be the cause of AI. Appendix intussusception-related symptoms include lower abdominal pain, irregular defecation, nausea, vomiting, or rectal hemorrhage. Making a preoperative diagnosis of AI is quite difficult, and usually a computed tomography (CT) of the abdomen and colonoscopy is required (2). We report a case of AI, secondary to endometriosis, and performed laparoscopic partial cecum resection, preserving ileocecal valve.

CASE PRESENTATION
A 35-year-old female patient presented to the general surgery outpatient clinic with lower abdominal pain, nausea, and vomiting persisting for last one week. She did not have any defecation problems. She did not describe weight loss, altered defecation habit, or urinary tract complaints. She had a history of left oophorectomy due to endometriosis 6 years ago.

On physical examination, She was hemodynamically stable. There was no abdominal distention. Tenderness was found in the right lower quadrant, and a palpable mass could be detected on deep palpation. No defense or rebound was determined. The rectal examination was normal. Intestinal sounds were active. Routine blood tests demonstrated CRP of 16 mg/L, white blood cell count of 8490/mm³, hemoglobin of 9.5 g/dL, hematocrit of 31%, platelet count of 340,000/mm³.

A polypoid mass lesion measuring 3x2.5 cm, protruding toward the cecum lumen in the right lower quadrant, was detected on abdominal CT (Figure 1). On colonoscopic examination, a mass lesion, which had exudative and necrotic fields, appearing as the appendix, protruding into the cecum was detected at the appendix root site (Figure 2). The pathology of the colonoscopic biopsy revealed that the findings could be related to gangrenous appendicitis and also an inflammatory condition involving the ileocecal region.

Laparoscopic surgery was planned with the pre-diagnosis of AI. The patient was informed that different interventions could be applied if needed during the operation. Verbal and written informed consent was obtained from the patient. We first performed diagnostic laparoscopy. On exploration, the appendix was found to be completely inverted into the cecum (Figure 3). After having decided that the inverted appendix could not be reduced, laparoscopic partial cecum resection was performed, preserving the ileocecal valve (Figure 4).

The patient was followed in the hospital for 3 days without any complications. No complications developed during the postoperative 30 days. Foci of endometriosis externa infiltrating the muscular layer were detected on the histopathological examination.
DISCUSSION

AI is a rare condition. It was first reported by McKidd in 1858 (3). Collins (4) reported the incidence of AI as 0.01% as a result of the study conducted with 71,000 patients suffering appendicitis over the course of 40 years. Chaar et al. (2) reported that in his study investigating 191 AI cases, 76% of the cases were adult, and 24% were children.

Anatomical changes such as partially mobile meso-appendix or large proximal appendicular lumen may be the cause of AI. While inflammation is the most common cause of AI in children, endometriosis is the most common cause in adults (2, 5, 6). The other common causes include mucocele, adenoma, carcinoid, and adenocarcinoma (7-14). Papilloma, hamartoma, juvenile polyp, Crohn's disease, and melanosis coli are rare causes of AI (15-18).

Endometriosis is a common disease, which affects approximately 15% of the menstruating women in the United States. In the review of Robert et al. (19) including 29 studies, appendix endometriosis was reported in 336 out of 87,343 patients (0.4%) undergoing appendectomy.

Four different clinical types of AI have been reported. The first type mimics the classical type of acute appendicitis. The second type shows typical intussusception signs, which include abdominal pain and sometimes vomiting, accompanied by diarrhea and melena. The third type has signs and symptoms such as melena, vomiting, and recurrent right lower quadrant pain that can persist for weeks or months. The forth type includes patients who are completely asymptomatic (20). The most common signs are abdominal pain (78%), vomiting (26%), and rectal hemorrhage (23%). A mass lesion is detected in the right lower quadrant in 13% of adult patients and 37% of pediatric patients (2).

The preoperative diagnosis of AI is difficult. It is made post-operatively in many cases (57%). The diagnosis is made with postoperative pathological examination in 11% of the cases. Consequently, the correct preoperative diagnosis has been made in only 32% of the cases (2). Barium contrast studies and abdominal ultrasonography have a limited value in the diagnosis of this rare condition. Abdominal CT is the most com-
mon imaging method. Colonoscopy is a very useful method in the diagnosis of AI in cases with abdominal pain and suspicious imaging findings (21). We evaluated our patients with abdominal CT and made the diagnosis with colonoscopy.

Different approaches have been used in the treatment of AI. Despite reports of successful colonoscopic appendectomy using the endo-loop ligation system, this approach may be harmful in patients who have partial intussusception (22-24). Spontaneously reduced AI cases have also been reported in the literature (25).

A total of 191 cases were analyzed in one of the largest series in the literature, and appendectomy was reported as the most common intervention (42% in adults, 71% in children). Ileoectomy (27%) and right hemicolectomy (21%) were performed in the remaining patients. Treatment with colonoscopy was reported in four adult patients (3%) (2). While appendectomy is sufficient in cases with only intussusception, right hemicolectomy is more appropriate for patients who are suspected to have neoplasia (26, 27). We performed laparoscopic partial cecum resection, preserving the ileocecal valve, as appendix reduction was not possible.

CONCLUSION
Preoperative diagnosis of AI, which is a rare condition, is important. We consider that laparoscopic partial cecum resection through preservation of the ileocecal valve anatomy is an appropriate approach in patients who are not suspected to have malignancy and whose appendix cannot be reduced.
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