



Laparoscopic partial cecum resection in appendix intussusception

Serkan Zenger¹, Çağrı Bilgiç¹, Dursun Buğra^{1,2}

ABSTRACT

Appendix intussusception (AI) is a difficult disease to diagnose. Various features of the disease have been analyzed in a 35-year-old female patient who was admitted with abdominal pain and diagnosed with AI. The diagnosis was made with colonoscopy and abdominal computed tomography. Laparoscopic partial cecum resection was performed. The pathology examination revealed foci of endometriosis externa, which infiltrated the muscular layer of the appendix. AI should be kept in mind in the differential diagnosis of recurrent abdominal pain. Colonoscopy is an indispensable examination for the differential diagnosis. Laparoscopic partial cecum resection, preserving ileocecal valve, is an appropriate treatment approach in irreducible cases that are not suspected to be malignant.

Keywords: Appendix, intussusception, laparoscopy

INTRODUCTION

Appendix intussusception (AI), which is one of the rare types of intussusception, is seen in 0.01% of the patients who underwent appendectomy (1). Anatomical changes such as partially mobile meso-appendix or large proximal appendicular lumen may be the cause of AI. Appendix intussusception-related symptoms include lower abdominal pain, irregular defecation, nausea, vomiting, or rectal hemorrhage. Making a preoperative diagnosis of AI is quite difficult, and usually a computed tomography (CT) of the abdomen and colonoscopy is required (2). We report a case of AI, secondary to endometriosis, and performed laparoscopic partial cecum resection, preserving the ileocecal valve.

CASE PRESENTATION

A 35-year-old female patient presented to the general surgery outpatient clinic with lower abdominal pain, nausea, and vomiting persisting for last one week. She did not have any defecation problems. She did not describe weight loss, altered defecation habit, or urinary tract complaints. She had a history of left oophorectomy due to endometriosis 6 years ago.

On physical examination, She was hemodynamically stable. There was no abdominal distention. Tenderness was found in the right lower quadrant, and a palpable mass could be detected on deep palpation. No defense or rebound was determined. The rectal examination was normal. Intestinal sounds were active. Routine blood tests demonstrated CRP of 16 mg/L, white blood cell count of 8490/mm³, hemoglobin of 9.5 g/dL, hematocrit of 31%, platelet count of 340.000/mm³.

A polypoid mass lesion measuring 3x2.5 cm, protruding toward the cecum lumen in the right lower quadrant, was detected on abdominal CT (Figure 1). On colonoscopic examination, a mass lesion, which had exudative and necrotic fields, appearing as the appendix, protruding into the cecum was detected at the appendix root site (Figure 2). The pathology of the colonoscopic biopsy revealed that the findings could be related to gangrenous appendicitis and also an inflammatory condition involving the ileocecal region.

Laparoscopic surgery was planned with the pre-diagnosis of AI. The patient was informed that different interventions could be applied if needed during the operation. Verbal and written informed consent was obtained from the patient. We first performed diagnostic laparoscopy. On exploration, the appendix was found to be completely inverted into the cecum (Figure 3). After having decided that the inverted appendix could not be reduced, laparoscopic partial cecum resection was performed, preserving the ileocecal valve (Figure 4).

The patient was followed in the hospital for 3 days without any complications. No complications developed during the postoperative 30 days. Foci of endometriosis externa infiltrating the muscular layer were detected on the histopathological examination.

Cite this paper as:

Zenger S, Bilgiç Ç, Buğra D. Laparoscopic partial cecum resection in appendix intussusception. Turk J Surg 2018; 10.5152/turkjsurg.2018.3633.

¹Department of General Surgery, VKV American Hospital, İstanbul, Turkey

²Department of General Surgery, Koç University School of Medicine, İstanbul, Turkey

Corresponding Author Serkan Zenger

e-mail: serkanzen@hotmail.com

Received: 27.10.2016

Accepted: 09.08.2017

Available Online Date: 28.08.2018

©Copyright 2018
by Turkish Surgical Association
Available online at
www.turkjsurg.com




Figure 1. a, b. Coronal (a) and axial (b) CT images of AI




Figure 2. a, b. Colonoscopy images of AI

DISCUSSION

AI is a rare condition. It was first reported by McKidd in 1858 (3). Collins (4) reported the incidence of AI as 0.01% as a result of the study conducted with 71,000 patients suffering appendicitis over the course of 40 years. Chaar et al. (2) reported that in his study investigating 191 AI cases, 76% of the cases were adult, and 24% were children.

Anatomical changes such as partially mobile meso-appendix or large proximal appendicular lumen may be the cause of AI. While inflammation is the most common cause of AI in children, endometriosis is the most common cause in adults (2, 5, 6). The other common causes include mucocele, adenoma, carcinoid, and adenocarcinoma (7-14). Papilloma, hamartoma, juvenile polyp, Crohn's disease, and melanosis coli are rare causes of AI (15-18).



Figure 3. Intraoperative image of inverted appendix




Figure 4. Intraoperative image of laparoscopic partial cecum resection

Endometriosis is a common disease, which affects approximately 15% of the menstruating women in the United States. In the review of Robert et al. (19) including 29 studies, appendix endometriosis was reported in 336 out of 87,343 patients (0.4%) undergoing appendectomy.

Four different clinical types of AI have been reported. The first type mimics the classical type of acute appendicitis. The second type shows typical intussusception signs, which include abdominal pain and sometimes vomiting, accompanied by diarrhea and melena. The third type has signs and symptoms such as melena, vomiting, and recurrent right lower quadrant pain that can persist for weeks or months. The fourth type includes patients who are completely asymptomatic (20). The most common signs are abdominal pain (78%), vomiting (26%), and rectal hemorrhage (23%). A mass lesion is detected in the right lower quadrant in 13% of adult patients and 37% of pediatric patients (2).

The preoperative diagnosis of AI is difficult. It is made postoperatively in many cases (57%). The diagnosis is made with postoperative pathological examination in 11% of the cases. Consequently, the correct preoperative diagnosis has been made in only 32% of the cases (2). Barium contrast studies and abdominal ultrasonography have a limited value in the diagnosis of this rare condition. Abdominal CT is the most com-

mon imaging method. Colonoscopy is a very useful method in the diagnosis of AI in cases with abdominal pain and suspicious imaging findings (21). We evaluated our patients with abdominal CT and made the diagnosis with colonoscopy.

Different approaches have been used in the treatment of AI. Despite reports of successful colonoscopic appendectomy using the endo-loop ligation system, this approach may be harmful in patients who have partial intussusception (22-24). Spontaneously reduced AI cases have also been reported in the literature (25).

A total of 191 cases were analyzed in one of the largest series in the literature, and appendectomy was reported as the most common intervention (42% in adults, 71% in children). Ileocectomy (27%) and right hemicolectomy (21%) were performed in the remaining patients. Treatment with colonoscopy was reported in four adult patients (3%) (2). While appendectomy is sufficient in cases with only intussusception, right hemicolectomy is more appropriate for patients who are suspected to have neoplasia (26, 27). We performed laparoscopic partial cecum resection, preserving the ileocecal valve, as appendix reduction was not possible.

CONCLUSION

Preoperative diagnosis of AI, which is a rare condition, is important. We consider that laparoscopic partial cecum resection through preservation of the ileocecal valve anatomy is an appropriate approach in patients who are not suspected to have malignancy and whose appendix cannot be reduced.

Informed Consent: Verbal informed consent was obtained from the patient

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed.

Author Contributions: Concept - S.Z., Ç.B., D.B.; Design - S.Z., Ç.B.; Supervision - D.B.; Resource - S.Z., Ç.B., D.B.; Materials - S.Z., Ç.B., D.B.; Data Collection and/or Processing - S.Z., Ç.B., D.B.; Analysis and/or Interpretation - S.Z., Ç.B., D.B.; Literature Search - S.Z., Ç.B., D.B.; Writing Manuscript - S.Z., Ç.B., D.B.; Critical Reviews - D.B.

Conflict of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.

REFERENCES

- Langsam LB, Raj PK, Galang CF. Intussusception of the appendix. *Dis Colon Rectum* 27: 1984; 387-392. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Chaar CI, Wexelman B, Zuckerman K, Longo W. Intussusception of the appendix: comprehensive review of the literature. *Am J Surg* 2009; 198: 122-128. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- McKidd J. Case of invagination of caecum and appendix. *Edinburgh Med J* 1858; 4: 793-797.
- Collins D. Seventy one thousand human appendix specimens. A final report summarising forty years' study. *Am J Proctol* 1963; 14: 356-381.
- Moradi P, Barakate M, Gill A, Farrow G. Intussusception of the vermiform appendix due to endometriosis presenting as acute appendicitis. *ANZ J Surg* 2007; 77: 758-760. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Duncan JE, DeNobile JW, Sweeney WB. Colonoscopic diagnosis of appendiceal intussusception: case report and review of the literature. *JSL S* 2005; 9: 488-490.
- Blondiaux E, Savoye-Collet C, Foulatier O, Lemoine F, Dacher JN. Appendiceal intussusception caused by a mucocele of the appendix: imaging findings. *Digest Liver Dis* 2007; 39: 1087. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Waseem T, Javaid-ur-Rehman, Sabir NU, Hussain S, Amir E, Arif S. Rare association: Ileocaeco-colic intussusception secondary to mucinous cystadenoma of the appendix in an adult. *ANZ J Surg* 2007; 77: 1021-1022. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Kawamura YJ, Toyama N, Kasamatsu T, Ota M, Konishi F. Intussusception of appendiceal adenoma mimicking invasive carcinoma. *Endoscopy* 2002; 34: 749. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Mathew J, Aldean IM, Ghafar FA, Haboubi NY. Appendicular intussusception into a polyp. *Tech Coloproctol* 2004; 8: 113-115. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Larsen SG, Nilssen A, Helseth A, Bohler P, Giercksky KE. Invagination of the appendix with carcinoid tumour. *Eur J Surg* 1999; 165: 993-997. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Butte JM, Torres J, Henriquez IM, Pinedo G. Appendicular mucosal intussusception into the cecum secondary to an intramural mucinous cystadenoma of the appendix. *J Am Coll Surg* 2007; 204-510. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Takahashi M, Sawada T, Fukuda T, Furugori T, Kuwano H. Complete appendiceal intussusception induced by primary appendiceal adenocarcinoma in tubular adenoma: a case report. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 2003; 33: 413-415. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Thomas RE, Maude K, Rotimi O. A case of an intussuscepted neuroendocrine carcinoma of the appendix. *World J Gastroenterol* 2006; 12: 971-973. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Goodwin DP. Intussusception due to hamartoma. *Br Med J* 1967; 4: 681-682. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Bailey DJ, Courington KR, Andres JM, Bagwell CE, Hitchcock CL. Cecal polyp and appendiceal intussusception in a child with recurrent abdominal pain: diagnosis by colonoscopy. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 1987; 6: 818-820. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Solomon DJ, Freson M, Price SK. Complete appendicular inversion: the "inside-out" appendix. An unusual presentation of Crohn's disease. A case report and review of the literature. *J Belge Radiol* 1991; 74: 115-116.
- Akbayir N, Yildirim S, Sokmen HM, Kiliç G, Alkim C. Intussusception of vermiform appendix with microscopic melanosis coli: a case report. *Turk J Gastroenterol* 2006; 17: 233-235.
- Gustofson RL, Kim N, Liu S, Stratton P. Endometriosis and the appendix: a case series and comprehensive review of the literature. *Fertil Steril* 2006; 86: 298-303. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Reijnen JA, Festen C, Joosten HJ. Chronic intussusception in children. *Br J Surg* 1989; 76: 815-816. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Tavakkoli H, Sadrkabir SM, Mahzouni P. Colonoscopic diagnosis of appendiceal intussusception in a patient with intermittent abdominal pain: A case report. *World J Gastroenterol* 2007 August 21; 13: 4274-4277. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- De Hoyos A, Monroy MA, Gallegos C, Checa G. Intussusception of the appendix resected at colonoscopy. *Endoscopy* 2006; 38: 763. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Sriram PV, Seitz U, Soehendra N, Schroeder S. Endoscopic appendectomy in a case of appendicular intussusception due to endometriosis, mimicking a cecal polyp. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2000; 95: 1594-1596. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Pardoll PM, Wilcoxon JK, Trudeau WL. Primary asymptomatic appendiceal intussusception: a colonoscopic view. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1976; 23: 44. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Komine N, Yasunaga C, Nakamoto M, Shima I, Iso Y, Takeda Y, Nakamata T. Intussusception of the appendix that reduced spontaneously during follow-up in a patient on hemodialysis therapy. *Intern Med* 2004; 43: 479-483. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Miyahara M, Saito T, Etoh K, Shimoda K, Kitano S, Kobayashi M, Yokoyama S. Appendiceal intussusception due to an appendiceal malignant polyp—an association in a patient with Peutz-Jeghers syndrome: report of a case. *Surg Today* 1995; 25: 834-837. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Sadahiro S, Ohmura T, Yamada Y, Saito T, Akatsuka S. A case of cecocolic intussusception with complete invagination and intussusception of the appendix with villous adenoma. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1991; 34: 85-88. [\[CrossRef\]](#)