Perineal stapled rectal resection without a contour transtar: A modified approach
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**ABSTRACT**

Perineal stapled prolapse resection is a novel approach for treating rectal prolapse in elderly and frail patients. We report a modified technique using only a straight linear stapler. A 94-year-old female with 15-cm full thickness rectal prolapse was treated using a linear cutter in the left and right lateral quadrants and then resection was completed by using the same instrument in the anterior and posterior flaps. The procedure was performed under local anesthesia and in a prone jackknife position. There was no morbidity or mortality and the patient was discharged on postoperative day 2. Follow-up at 9 months revealed no recurrent prolapse and she was asymptomatic. This technique is easy, safe, and fast to perform without using contour transtar (Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Cincinnati, OH).
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**INTRODUCTION**

The preferred treatment for frail and elderly patients with rectal prolapse is a perineal approach. Various techniques including Altemeier et al. (1) and Delorme (2) have been more commonly performed in such patients with full rectal prolapse. In 2008, Scherer et al. (3) described a new perineal approach (perineal stapled prolapse (PSP) resection) and a further refinement of this technique was described by Romano et al. (4) in 2009. Main drawbacks of PSP are the high cost of two different kinds of staplers employed and a potential risk of damaging adherent peritoneal tissue.

**CASE PRESENTATION**

A 94-year-old patient presented with a 3-month history of rectal pressure, bright red bleeding per rectum and a prolapsing mass that came out of her rectum after bowel movements. Her past medical history was significant for hypertension and degenerative joint disease. On examination, a 15-cm reducible rectal prolapse was noted. The patient denied symptoms of constipation or incontinence. After alternative procedures were discussed and lack of long-term data about PSP was disclosed, the patient gave consent for PSP. A full bowel prep was conducted and perioperative antibiotics were administered.

**Surgical Technique**

The patient underwent a colonoscopy before the procedure with conscious sedation in the left lateral position. Subsequently, she was put in a prone jackknife position. A 10-inch roll was put under her hips and the buttocks were taped apart. This position is optimal as it gives good visualization and any potential organ in the pouch of Douglas that is pushed cranially away resulting from the prolapse. The patient received 1% Lidocaine in 1:200,000 Epinephrine as an anesthetic and this was infiltrated with a 27-gauge needle to the perianal area and anal canal (total 22 cc of local anesthetic was used). The prolapse was corrected with Babcock clamps and bimanually examined to ensure that there were no intraperitoneal organs between the walls of the rectum. A linear straight stapler was used to divide the prolapse in the right and left lateral quadrants approximately 2 cm above the dentate line (Figure 1, 2). After the stapler was fired, a 2-0 Vicryl suture (Ethicon Inc.; Somerville, NJ) was placed at the end of the staple line in each quadrant (Figure 3). Subsequently, the flaps were held and gently pulled with the Babcock clamp; then, first the posterior and then the anterior flap was again divided with the linear stapler (Figure 4). The stapler was positioned 2 cm proximal to the dentate line. Before stapling and cutting the anterior flap, the back wall of the vagina was checked. During this procedure, traction of the anterior flap with the Babcock clamp was maintained. After the last firing, the neorectum falls back. After each firing the integrity of the staple line was checked. We routinely use a lighted bivalve anoscope and oversuture the staple line with a 2-0 Vicryl suture. At the end of the procedure, a rigid proctoscopy was performed along with and irrigation and suction. Postoperatively a regular diet was administered.

**RESULTS**

The operative time lasted 48 min. No morbidity occurred and the patient was discharged after 2 days. On follow-up 9 months after surgery, no recurrent prolapse or incontinence was noted.
DISCUSSION

Rectal prolapse in old, frail patients with multiple comorbid conditions can be challenging. Perineal procedures are generally preferred in this group of patients but the optimum procedure in terms of safety, recurrence, and functional results is still debated. After Scherer (3) described PSP in 2008 and a modification of this technique was reported by Romano (4) in 2009, various authors have reported their experiences in this relatively new technique (4-7). The safety, technical ease, and comparable outcome have been confirmed in these reports (3-8).

A major concern with this technique has been the potential vaginal and even small bowel injury, especially in women with a history of hysterectomy (9). A steep Trendelenburg position in a patient who is in a lithotomy position and checking the vagina has been recommended to prevent such injuries. An alternative of using laparoscopic guidance during the anterior stapling has been suggested as well. In our technique and in Romano's modification, the patient was operated in a prone jackknife position. This forces the small bowel to migrate cranially and away from the prolapse and minimizes this potential complication. In addition, the vagina falls away from the prolapse by gravity.
The other concern with this technique has been the increased cost and whether the cost-to-benefit ratio of PSP is justified. The cost of linear staplers and a contour stapler varies due to contracts and geographic sites. However, the cost of four firings of a linear stapler compared with two firings of a linear stapler in addition to two firings of a contour transtar (Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Cincinnati, OH) is less. In our technique, the contour transtar was not used and only a linear stapler with multifirings was used. This decreased the cost by more than 50%. Although this cost saving is significant, it needs to be determined whether this overall cost is offset by the shorter operating and hospitalization time.

In addition, we performed this procedure under local anesthesia, in this way the patient was not subject to potential complications of general or spinal anesthesia.

**CONCLUSION**

The procedure is easy to perform and is suitable in a select group of frail, elder patients. The long-term recurrence rate needs to be analyzed perhaps in a multicenter study.
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