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ABSTRACT

Truth or myth is seldom encountered in the practice of surgery, especially in cases of breast diseases. Yet, even after thousands of years of treating breast disease by surgeons/healers, fibroadenoma in the male breast seems to be a myth, due to the absence of fibro-glandular tissue. We wish to break this myth by our own experience as well as other studies by others all over the world, and unveil the truth that fibroadenoma in the male breast is a definitive entity and has a prevalence among the vast spectrum of breast diseases.
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INTRODUCTION

Diseases of the breast have influenced the world since ancient times. Fibroadenoma has almost always been associated with premenopausal female breast. A clinical diagnosis of fibroadenoma in the male breast is unexpected due to the lack of fibro-glandular tissue in normal males. Only a few cases of fibroadenomas in males have been reported in the literature; most of the reported cases involving male-to-female transsexuals (1, 2), or iatrogenic male fibroadenomas due to estrogen therapy for a medical condition in the elderly such as prostate carcinoma (3). Benign conditions such as gynecomastia, lipoma, epidermal inclusion cysts, and intraductal papilloma may mimic male breast cancer (4, 5). This article will attempt to highlight the truth behind the entity of fibroadenoma in males.

CASE SERIES

Over the last few years, we have come across 3 male cases with a breast lump. All patients were in the 18-23 years of age group. They were residing in different parts of the state of Madhya Pradesh and Chhattisgarh. These patients presented with similar complaints of a lump in the breast (1: right subareolar, 2: right subareolar, 3: left subareolar) that has gradually been increasing in size since the last 1-2 years. The patients have ignored the lumps at first, and then consulted when the lump was large enough to be visible. There was no associated nipple discharge or ulceration in any of the cases. There was no history of any drug intake or other signs of any carcinoma, and no history of axillary swellings. The patients were born uneventfully after a full-term normal vaginal pregnancy. There was no family history suggestive of any other cardiac or cutaneous myxomas. The boys had attained sexual maturity at the ages of 14, 14 and 15 respectively. All other secondary sexual characters were normal and serum examination of hormonal profile was within normal limits.

These patients had soft, firm lumps in the breast measuring 2 x 2 cm, 4 x 3 cm and 2 x 1 cm, in the 1st, 2nd and 3rd patients, respectively, as described in the above paragraph. All the lumps were freely mobile and not fixed to the skin or underlying fascia. The surface of the lumps were lobulated.

Only the first patient underwent ultrasound that revealed a 2 x 2 cm solid, hypo-echoic, homogenous and well circumscribed mass (Figure 1). Fine needle aspiration cytology was done on all three patients on the lump which was suggestive of fibroadenoma (Figure 2).

Informed consent was obtained from all three patients, and they were subsequently investigated further and treated. Along with the regular information regarding their disease and the possible treatment options with benefits and risks, they were informed that we would be using their findings and treatment anonymously in some of our publications.

All three patients underwent simple nipple sparing subcutaneous mastectomy with lumpectomy by a periareolar incision (Figures 3, 4). This was done to rule any other possibilities of fibrocystic disease, for cosmetic purposes, and to differentiate between gynecomastia and fibroadenoma on histology.
The histology showed florid ductal hyperplasia and focal secretory hyperplasia (Figures 5, 6). There were almost equal portions of epithelial and stromal components. The epithelial component of the rest of the breast specimen showed gynecomastia. The histopathology reports on all the patients were similar. Hence they were finally confirmed and diagnosed as male patients having fibroadenoma.
DISCUSSION
Fibroadenomas in the male breast are rare with only a few reported cases. Histologically, subareolar ducts are demonstrated in the normal male breast similar to those found in prepubertal girls (6). Holleb et al. (7) concluded that there was no true fibroadenoma of the male breast. It has been concluded by some authors that most of the reported lesions are poorly documented and nodular foci of gynecomastia have been reported as fibroadenomas (1). However, it is now apparent that fibroadenomas in the male breast are true events because there have been some reports in which fibroadenomas were well documented. Fibroadenomas have both estrogen and progesterone receptors (8). It has been discussed that proliferative changes in the male breast, like gynecomastia, lobular differentiation, and fibroepithelial lesions are caused by hormonal imbalances and some medications. In the literature, fibroadenoma in the male breast appear to be always associated with gynecomastia. Shin and Rosen (9) could not find any reports of fibroadenomas in male patients who did not have concurrent gynecomastia. However, the presence of lobular differentiation with or without associated gynecomastia is less common (9). Lobular differentiation and fibroadenomas were found in two reported cases of male to female transsexuals who were undergoing demasculinization and feminization by hormonal therapy using ethinyl-oestradiol and cyproterone acetate and surgical treatment (10). Davis et al. (8) reported a case of a 19-year-old female with complete androgen insensitivity syndrome (CAIS) and a fibroadenoma of the breast. Four cases of male fibroadenomas have been reported in which gynecomastia with lobular differentiation was present in each case (11). One of the four patients had been treated with estrogens, whereas another patient had been treated with methylidopa and chloridazepoxide. As Asscheman et al. (12) reported, there are genetic differences in estrogen sensitivity to dopaminergic regulation of prolactin secretion, and in the latter case, methylidopa was thought to play a role. For the other two patients, the possible causes of fibroadenoma formation remained elusive. In our cases, the presence of lobular differentiation was carefully sought; however, not found. In idiopathic prepubertal or senile gynecomastia, the increase in the plasma estrogen-androgen ratio usually will not induce acinar and lobular formation in the male breast. However, in transsexuals, in whom progestagenic antiandrogens, such as cyproterone acetate, are combined with feminizing estrogen therapy, then acini and lobular formation will occur (9, 13). The use of a luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone agonist to decrease testosterone levels for the treatment of advanced prostate cancer has contributed to the development of gynecomastia and fibroadenoma (9). Gynecomastia has also been associated with digitals and spironolactone (14), which interfere with the production of testosterone and its conversion to the potent metabolite, 5-alfa-dihydrotestosterone (4, 10). Cimetidine is also a precursor of gynecomastia (15). Fibroadenomas in men without hormone treatment and with normal hormone levels are extremely rare. There is a report of single case of a man who had a fibroadenoma of the breast, gynecomastia, adenocarcinoma of the rectum, and polyposis coli, in which the causative agent of the fibroadenoma was unknown and the development mechanism of the breast fibroadenoma was under question (16). Similarly, in all our cases, the patients did not have any causative factors as theorized until today and remains to be idiopathic. Leonard M Glassman, MD, had commented that “do not diagnose fibroadenoma in men even if it looks like fibroadenoma, and when you get such a biopsy result get another pathologist”, but now we think that in the presence of very conclusive 3 cases, fibroadenoma in the breast can be seen in males and can be idiopathic.

CONCLUSION
In spite of the limited number of cases, taking the low prevalence of this particular pathology into consideration, it can be stated that idiopathic fibroadenoma in males is a possibility.
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