Massive lower gastrointestinal bleeding from a jejunal Dieulafoy lesion
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ABSTRACT

Dieulafoy lesion should be considered in massive gastrointestinal bleeding that may be difficult to localize. If the endoscopic and angiographic approaches fail, surgery must be considered according to the patient's clinical condition within an appropriate time. Although mostly seen in the stomach of old male patients with co-morbidities, here we presented a Dieulafoy lesion in the jejunum of a 21-year-old female patient without any significant comorbidity. After endoscopic and angiographic attempts, surgical resection with the help of intraoperative endoscopy was performed. It was shown that perioperative endoscopy may reveal the localization of jejunal bleedings and may guide the definitive treatment.
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INTRODUCTION

Dieulafoy lesion (DL), which was first described by Gallard in 1884 and named after Dieulafoy in 1896, is one of the rare entities (1.3%) that may cause massive gastrointestinal bleeding (1). Dieulafoy lesion is characterized by exteriorization of a large pulsatile arterial vessel through a minimal mucosal tear surrounded by normal mucosa with increased vascular wall fibrosis (2, 3). Because of the possibility of massive recurrent bleeding, there is high mortality if not managed properly. The diagnosis and localization of DL during massive bleeding are important and difficult. The most commonly seen locations of DL are the stomach, duodenum, esophagus, colon, rectum, and jejunum, in decreasing order. The most commonly used diagnostic modalities are endoscopy, angiography, and scintigraphy. Surgery is the choice of treatment only in 5% of patients and performed when endoscopic and angiographic attempts fail (4).

In this study, we present a case that had massive gastrointestinal bleeding caused by DL at the jejunum and required urgent surgical intervention with peroperative endoscopy after the failure of angiographic treatment.

CASE PRESENTATION

A 21-year-old woman with polycystic ovary syndrome who did not have any dyspeptic symptoms or alcohol and drug intake was admitted to a clinic due to sudden syncope and hematochezia 3 days before being admitted to our clinic. During hospitalization, she was administered 10 units of erythrocyte suspension, 7 units of fresh frozen plasma, and intravenous colloid and crystalloids. Due to the worsening of hemorrhagic shock, she was referred to our clinic with an air ambulance. She was pale and agitated. Her vitals were: blood pressure: 100/55 mm-Hg pulse rate: 152/min, and respiratory rate: 26/min. Glasgow coma score was 9. She was intubated electively. Rectal examination revealed fresh blood and extensive clot discharge. Intravenous fluid resuscitation was administered. Laboratory values were as follows: hemoglobin (Hb): 3.7 g/dL, hematocrit (Htc): 10.2%, platelet: 61,000/mm³, white blood cell count (WBC): 5600/mm³, and International Normalized Ratio (INR): 1.1. Irrigation was performed via nasogastric tube, but there were no findings of bleeding in the gastric content. The patient was diagnosed as hemorrhagic shock due to massive lower gastrointestinal bleeding. Urgent angiography revealed bleeding from one of the proximal jejunal arteries.

The bleeding distal branch was catheterized with a microguide, and embolization was performed with 250–300-micron polyvinyl alcohol (PVA). However, 1 hour after embolization, the vital signs of the pa-
tween 20 months and 93 years, the mean age is over 50 years as a result of aspirin, and warfarin (5, 6). Although DL has been seen being used in indications, like non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), it is also found in male patients with multiple comorbidities and having medical care. The lesion often presents with nausea, vomiting, hematemesis, melena, and hematochezia and resulting hemorrhagic shock. The small size of the lesion and extensive blood and/or clot formation in the lumen make the diagnosis difficult. Diagnosis is challenging as the bleeding stops. Thus, a repeated endoscopy, which is the most sensitive and cost-effective method, may be required. Endoscopy may diagnose 49%-63% of patients. Intraoperative enteroscopy enables direct evaluation of the small intestine with a diagnostic yield of 70%-100% (8). Also, endoscopic hemostatic procedures are effective in the treatment, in addition to the diagnosis. If the endoscopic therapy fails, patients are poor candidates for surgery, or the bleeding is beyond the reach of therapeutic endoscopy, angiography is preferred. In our case, bleeding was located in the lower gastrointestinal system; so, we performed angiography regarding its diagnostic and therapeutic efficacy in this condition. Similarly, Reilly performed angiography in 14 of 177 patients and located the lesions in 11, as well as embolization in the rest of the 3 (9). Schmulewitz et al. (5) had described selective left gastric embolization in 3 patients with gastric DL. Recurrence rates after endoscopic or angiographic treatments vary within a range of 11%-22% (4, 9). There are patients who undergo surgery because of recurrent bleeding after endoscopic treatment. Laparotomy may be required if the lesion can not be located (10). In our case, there was an early recurrence following angiography and embolization, and recatheterization of the lesion had failed. Due to worsening hemorrhagic shock, urgent laparotomy was performed, and the lesion was located accurately with intraoperative enterotomy and endoscopy.

Surgery is the last option for DL if the gastrointestinal bleeding can not be located. Although performed in only 3%-16% of cases, surgery must be preferred in selected cases, like our case. Minimally invasive surgery is an option for DL, especially in the jejunum, but it needs preoperative localization. In our case, it was not possible, and the hemodynamics of the patient deteriorated. Despite the advantages of low rebleeding rates and definitive treatment option, surgery must be kept for failure of therapeutic endoscopy and angiographic interventions and should be guided by peri- or preoperative localizations.

CONCLUSION
Dieulafoy lesions can lead to massive gastrointestinal bleedings. Diagnosis and treatment options should be evaluated according to the patient’s status. Intraoperative endoscopy is a helpful tool for localization.
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