The early results of our initial experience with robotic adrenalectomy
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ABSTRACT

Objective: Robotic adrenalectomy is one of the minimally invasive surgical methods gaining wide acceptance due to the three-dimensional imaging system and ergonomics of the equipment. We aimed to present the early data on patients who underwent robotic adrenalectomy due to adrenal masses in our hospital.

Material and Methods: The records of eight cases, in which a unilateral robotic trans-peritoneal adrenalectomy was conducted due to an adrenal mass between 2011 and 2013, have been evaluated. Demographic characteristics of cases, body mass index (BMI), American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) score, preoperative diagnosis, diameter and localization of the adrenal mass, operative time, blood loss, conversion rate to open surgery, morbidity and mortality rates, length of hospital stay, total hospital charges and postoperative pathologic results were considered.

Results: The female to male ratio was 6:2, the median age was 49.5 (26-71) and the median BMI was 29.7 (21.7-38.5). An adrenalectomy was performed in six cases for a right adrenal mass and in two cases for a left adrenal mass. The mean tumor diameter was 53.6 mm (20-90). The average surgical time (including docking) was 98 min. (55-175 min.) and the average blood loss was 50 mL. The only complication was a diaphragm injury which was repaired robotically. There were no conversions to traditional laparoscopic or open surgery and there have not been any mortality in the series. The median length of hospital stay was 4.1 days (range 2-11) and the average cost was 3617.12 TL ($1808.56).

Conclusion: Robotic adrenalectomy is an effective and safe surgical alternative to laparoscopic adrenalectomy. However its high cost has emerged as its main disadvantage.
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INTRODUCTION

After description of laparoscopic methods in adrenal surgery, the transperitoneal approach by Gagne (1) in 1992 and the retroperitoneal approach by Mercan (2), minimally invasive surgical techniques have been adopted, and evolution of these methods have continued with subsequent advances in technology.

It has been shown that laparoscopic adrenalectomy (LA) results in less postoperative period pain and decreases the need for analgesics, as well as a shorter length of hospital stay, shorter operative time, less cost, and better cosmetic results as compared to open adrenalectomy (OA) (1-5). For these reasons, LA has become the current standard method of treatment.

With the introduction of robotic surgery technology in many areas of surgery, the use of more ergonomic hand instruments, and the convenience they provided to the surgeon despite high costs, have made robotic adrenalectomy (RA) emerge as an alternative method to LA, and various RA techniques have been described (6, 7).

In our hospital, daVinci ® SI robotic system is being used since 2009 (Figure 1), as until the end of 2012, 459 robotic surgeries have been performed, 41 of which were done by our General Surgery Clinics (Figures 2a and 2b). Most of the robotic procedures (74%, n=340) were performed by Department of Urology. Robotic systems is being applied to increasing number of patients, in a growing variety of applications in general surgery patients and in our department it is mainly applied in colorectal and upper gastrointestinal system surgery, bariatric surgery and solid organ surgery (8).
As of 2013, there are 3000 robotic systems throughout the world, and 18 of them are available in our country. Since our clinic is one of the first centers to use robotic surgery technology in our country, in this study we aimed to present our initial results of transperitoneal RA.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Among patients who have been elected to undergo surgery due to an adrenal mass by the multidisciplinary endocrinology council between January 2011 and February 2013, eight patients in whom an RA was performed were identified. The demographic characteristics of cases, body mass index (BMI), American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) score, preoperative diagnosis, diameter and localization of the tumor, operative time, blood loss, conversion rate to open surgery, morbidity and mortality rates, length of hospital stay, total hospital charges and postoperative pathologic results were retrospectively evaluated.

The multidisciplinary endocrinology council consisting of general surgery, endocrinology, pathology, radiology and nuclear medicine specialists evaluated all patients prior to surgery. All functional tests and magnetic resonance (MR) imaging were performed by the department of Endocrinology. Patients with preoperative manifestations of Cushing's were operated under appropriate steroid treatment. Informed consent was obtained for the procedure and its potential complications from all patients undergoing robotic surgery.

All operations were done by the same surgeon, using daVinci® SI robotic surgical system (Intuitive Surgical™, Mountain View, CA, USA).

Patient preparation, positioning and port sites in robotic adrenalectomy are similar with the laparoscopic technique and in our department, surgery was performed in the standard lateral decubitus position. Following the creation of pneumoperitoneum, four ports were introduced. One of these ports were not attached to the robotic arm, a laparoscopic port was preferred so that the assistant can use standard laparoscopic instruments (aspirator, clip applier etc.). Although the location of this port, which is used to facilitate surgical manipulation, varied according to patient weight and anatomical structure, it was routinely placed at the most medial position for right RA and the most lateral for left RA.

A grasping instrument with bipolar cautery capability, a robotic ultrasonic sealing and cutting device and a 30° camera were placed to the other three robotic arms. Following initial exploration with laparoscopic camera, the docking process was started.

The docking process is one of the most important stages of the operation. Repositioning of port sites once the surgery begins is very difficult. According to the patient's anatomy and position, the robot tower was brought towards the operating table from the ipsilateral shoulder, the robotic arms were angulated according to port sites, and the ports were docked.

In right robotic adrenalectomy cases, retraction of the liver was achieved with a 5 mm angulated retractor, 10 mm standard retractor or laparoscopic aspirator that was operated by the first assistant. The hepatic flexure was pulled down, the peritoneum was opened and the right adrenal gland was exposed by following landmarks (Figure 3).

In left robotic adrenalectomy cases, peritoneal dissection was done between the splenic flexure and the spleen and similarly, the left adrenal gland was exposed following landmarks. During dissection, a laparoscopic aspirator provided blunt counter-traction. In both right and left RA following identification of the adrenal vein, adrenalectomy was completed after the adrenal vein was first clipped and then cut, however sealing devices may also be preferred. In all the cases, the specimen was extracted within an endoscopic bag, and if required, the
Port incision was extended according to the size of the mass for extraction. In our patients, surgical drains were not placed routinely but only in cases that the surgeon preferred. Following the removal of the specimen, ports were removed under direct camera vision and the operation was terminated after suturing these sites.

Postoperatively, all patients received a standard dose of analgesia and if they received preoperative steroids, the maintenance dose was continued. Follow-up evaluations were done in endocrinology and general surgery outpatient clinics at the first week after discharge.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS® 17.0 for Windows (SPSS® Inc., Chicago, IL) was used for analysis. Values were stated as mean and median (minimum-maximum).

RESULTS
Six patients were female (75%), and the mean age of patients was 49.5 (26-71). The mean BMI was 29.7 (21.7 to 38.5). The mass was located in the right adrenal gland in four patients (50%), and in the left adrenal gland in two patients (25%). In two cases (25%), bilateral adrenal masses were present; in one patient, the mass on the right side was greater than the lesion on the left and in the other patient the mass on the right side was 9 cm and on Positron Emission Tomography (PET-CT) imaging showed a high FDG uptake. In both of these cases, a decision to perform right adrenalectomy was reached as per endocrinologist’s suggestion.

In the preoperative function evaluation, four cases were detected as Cushing’s clinical presentation. These cases were operated with perioperative steroid treatment as arranged by endocrinology. Including two patients with bilateral masses right adrenalectomy was performed in six patients (75%), and in two patients left (25%) adrenalectomy was done.

The mean diameter of the mass was 53.6 mm (20-90). The mean operative time (including the docking time) was 98 min (55-175) and the average blood loss was 50 mL. None of the cases required conversion to either standard laparoscopy or open surgery. Histopathological examination of the cases, including cases of suspected malignancy, revealed adrenal cortical adenoma. As a complication, in one patient the diaphragm was injured during right adrenalectomy (12.5%) that was repaired robotically. There was no mortality. The mean length of hospital stay was 4.1 days (2-11) and the average cost was calculated as 3,617.12 £ (1,808.56 $) (Table 1).

DISCUSSION
Since the 1990s open adrenalectomy has been replaced with LA and the implementation of minimally invasive surgery in adrenal gland surgery has gained significant momentum (1, 2). Currently, LA has become a standard surgical method in many centers (4, 5). Guerrieri et al. (9) indicated the learning curve for the right and left sided LA as 30 and 40 cases, respectively. Nevertheless, due to the low incidence of cases, the

---

Table 1. Demographic properties and surgical findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case (Year)</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>ASA Score</th>
<th>Mass Function</th>
<th>Mass Location</th>
<th>Mass Size (mm)</th>
<th>Surgery</th>
<th>Docking duration (min)</th>
<th>Total operation duration (min)</th>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>Length of stay (day)</th>
<th>Pathology</th>
<th>Cost (TL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (2011)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>21.77</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cushing†</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Right RLTA</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>ACA</td>
<td>2.406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (2011)</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>25.08</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cushing†</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Left RLTA</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>ACA</td>
<td>4.841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (2012)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>25.71</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>Right RLTA</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>ACA</td>
<td>5.251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (2012)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>30.47</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>Right RLTA</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>ACA</td>
<td>2.870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (2012)</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>28.88</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cushing†</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>Right RLTA*</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>ACA</td>
<td>3.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (2013)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>34.29</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cushing†</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Right RLTA**</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>ACA</td>
<td>4.530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 (2013)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>33.14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>Right RLTA</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>DI</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>ACA</td>
<td>2.774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 (2013)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>38.57</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>Left RLTA</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>ACA</td>
<td>5.238</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI: Body Mass Index; ASA: American Society of Anesthesiology; NF: non-functioning; RLTA: robotic lateral transabdominal adrenalectomy; DI: diaphragma injury; ACA: adrenocortical adenoma
†Operation under corticosteroid treatment
*Right adrenal gland significantly enlarged on MRI (left adrenal gland dimension 2 cm)
**Increased FDG uptake in the right adrenal gland on PET-CT
‡Cost; calculated with the assumption that reusable instruments of robotic arms are used in 10 cases
anatomical localization of the adrenal gland and their special vascularization, adrenal surgery is a surgical procedure that is only performed by experienced surgeons.

As in all other laparoscopic procedures, laparoscopic adrenalectomy also has the following disadvantages; rigidity of the available hand instruments, a two-dimensional display system that does not allow perception of depth, failure to obtain a stable camera image, requirement of one or more assistants due to the ability to use only two arms, negative effects of tremor due to the inconvenient surgeon position, and the long learning curve (10, 11).

With the development of robotic technology, RA has been introduced to adrenal surgery. The RA technique precludes a large portion of LA’s disadvantages and its learning curve requires less than 20 cases in surgeons with experience in laparoscopic surgery, and is becoming an increasingly common technique worldwide (12). The main factors in effective in the spread of robotic systems are appropriate flexible ergonomic hand tools integrated into its arm, possibility to use more than two arms, achievement of a stable and three-dimensional image and creation of a more comfortable surgical environment for the surgeon (3, 7, 13-15). Robotic adrenalectomy provides a single working field and since it does not require positional docking changes, the aforementioned advantages are felt at the maximum level. That is why although RA is safer but more costly as compared to LA, particularly due to the comfort it offers to the surgeon, it is preferred with increasing frequency in hospitals that have the opportunity to work with robotic systems (10). As the number of cases increase, the docking process is shortened, and the operative time significantly decreases with adaptation to the device and skills achieved through the learning curve (10, 16).

In the literature, it has been reported that RA is similar to LA in terms of operative time, length of hospital stay and postoperative pain (12). In addition, studies indicating that in patients with high BMI and those with large tumors RA is more successful have also been published (7).

The most important disadvantage of robotic surgery is still its cost. In studies on overall cost, RA is cheaper than OA and costs slightly more than LA (15, 17-19). However, it has been reported that the cost is reduced in large centers, if high number of robotic procedures are carried out by various departments (17, 19). In general, other disadvantages of robotic surgery can be listed as damaging tissues by robotic arms that have a high energy since the surgeon cannot touch the ports and might make an uncontrolled move, the difficulty in changing patient position and port sites after docking, the ports being larger than the laparoscopic ones, the lack of angulation of instruments like sealing devices that provide coagulation, clip applicer, and bipolar cautery and difficulty in performing multiple intra-abdominal procedures in different quadrants (20). However, developments in robotics technology and hand instruments are rapidly progressing and those problems, especially tactile feedback, are likely to be solved in the near future (21). The wrong positioning of ports may considerably complicate hemostasis and dissection as compared to laparoscopic surgery (12).

In our department, before the application of robotic surgery, LA was performed on 20 patients. Six of the eight patients in our series had a right adrenalectomy, and two underwent left adrenalectomy. In the first case, the operation time including docking was 175 min, whereas in the last case it was 55 min. Along with the increase in the number of cases in our series, the operative time decreased in a manner consistent with the literature. The mean operative time in our series was 98 min that is shorter than the literature (16, 20, 22). This shorter duration of operation can be explained by the excess number of laparoscopic cases in all patients in our clinic and the experience in advanced laparoscopic surgery.

The conversion rate to open surgery is reported as 0-6% in the literature and in our series conversion to standard laparoscopy or open surgery was not required (7, 12, 17). The main reasons for conversion to open surgery are stated as insufficient exposure of the surgical field, technical problems and requirement of excessive dissection due to excess fat tissue in patients (22).

The mortality rate has been reported as 2.4% by Giulianotti et al. (7), but in our case series mortality was not observed parallel to the literature (15, 16, 18, 20, 22-24). In the literature, the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Year</th>
<th>Case number (n)</th>
<th>Operation time (min)</th>
<th>Surgical technique</th>
<th>Conversion to standard laparoscopy or open surgery (%)</th>
<th>Morbidity (%)</th>
<th>Mortality (%)</th>
<th>Length of hospital stay (day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Morino et al. (17), 2004</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>169 (136-215)</td>
<td>RLTA</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.7 (4-9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter et al. (15), 2006</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>185 (130-295)</td>
<td>RLTA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 (1-5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brunaud et al. (12), 2008</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>99 (40-275)</td>
<td>RLTA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.4±3.0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berber et al. (24), 2010</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>214.8±40.8*</td>
<td>RPRA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giulianotti et al. (25), 2011</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>118±46*</td>
<td>RLTA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4 (1-22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D’Annibale (16), 2011</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>200 (180-255)</td>
<td>RLTA</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5 (2-11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aksoy et al. (22), 2012</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>186.1±12.1*</td>
<td>RPRA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.3±0.1*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are stated as mean±SD. RLTA: Robotic lateral transabdominal adrenalectomy; RPRA: robotic posterior retroperitoneal adrenalectomy
complication rate has been reported to be below 5% and similar rates is expected to be achieved with increasing number of cases and experience (Table 2) (7, 18, 20, 22-25).

Popularity of robotic surgery is increasing all over the world, and many authors emphasize the fact that in addition to the comfort and advantages the device offers to the surgeon, the financial burden of research and development of this superior technology and the lack of competition within this field increase the cost of spare parts and maintenance, therefore significantly increasing overall cost. This cost reflects to the patient or insurance company, and inevitably causes a burden on the public health system.

However, as mentioned in publications that compare surgical methods (15, 17, 21), it is particularly emphasized that in centers where different branches use the robotic system in high volume, the costs of spare parts and maintenance is decreased to a more acceptable level as well as decreasing the general cost by utilization of the robotic system at maximum efficiency.

Indeed, evaluation of number of days the system was used/days of active work according to the number of cases performed in our hospital by various departments other than general surgery in 2012 (n=185), it is seen that an optimum level has been reached. For this reason, it is expected that the difference in cost as compared to the already existing conventional laparoscopic surgery will decrease.

On the other hand, the possibility of lowering the costs to an acceptable level by greater technological competition is promising for the future of robotic surgery.

**Study Limitations**

Patients presenting with adrenal mass are rare, and they usually do not present to general surgery clinics primarily. In our hospital, a multidisciplinary platform was developed including endocrinology and nuclear medicine specialists and the number of cases requiring surgery has increased in time.

**CONCLUSION**

Robotic adrenalectomy is a superior minimally invasive technique especially in single area surgery. It is an alternative to laparoscopic adrenalectomy, has ergonomic advantages, and is a safe and effective surgical option. Although the major drawback is its high cost, utilization of robotic systems in common use by multiple departments and high patient volume of the hospital are factors that can reduce the cost.

The robotic system that is highly suitable for single area surgery is expected to be cleared from its hardware and software disadvantages in the near future with technological advances, including tactile feedback. In addition, the existing system is likely to be an exquisite alternative technique for adrenal surgery in the future by its programatically facilitated application as clashing of instruments through a single port is prevented.
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