Spleen-preserving laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy: Two cases and review of the technique
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In this study, we aimed to assess the technique and results of spleen-preserving laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy (SPLDP) on the basis of two cases. The first case was a woman with a large cystic papillary lesion of the distal pancreas. The other patient was a woman with a pancreatic mass on the tail of the pancreas. Both patients were operated on using SPLDP. Five trocars were used in the first case and four trocars were used in the second case. Thirty degree telescope visualization and LigaSure dissection were used during the operation. The splenic vessels were dissected, but the short gastric vessels were preserved. The pancreas was transected by one Endo GIA stapler and the cut edge of the pancreas was reinforced with sutures to prevent a pancreatic fistula. We performed the same technique in both cases. However, in the first case, we aspirated the content of the cystic mass of the pancreas before removing it to avoid making a large incision. The duration of the operation was 190 and 135 minutes, respectively. There were no postoperative complications. SPLDP is a safe, effective modality for managing lesions of the distal pancreas. If the splenic vessels are transected, the short gastric vessels must be protected to ensure the viability of the spleen.
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INTRODUCTION

Minimally invasive procedures are currently being used in a safe and effective way in the surgery of the alimentary tract. Laparoscopic procedures for surgery of the pancreas have been introduced in the first half of the nineties. Gargner and Pomp (1) have done the first laparoscopic pancreaticoduodenectomy in 1994, translating this method that has been experimental to humans. Especially distal pancreatectomy has gained acceptance, with easier application as compared to pancreatoduodenectomy and with not including an anastomosis (2). Laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy (LDP) has been suggested mainly for benign and borderline malign lesions with concerns about the number of lymph nodes that can be harvested and adherence to oncologic principles (3). In addition, malignant lesions are usually accompanied by significant inflammation, peritumoral fibrosis and pancreatitis (4). With the complex retroperitoneal anatomy of pancreas in mind, LDP might be quite difficult.

One of the main points in the application of this technique is the preservation of the spleen during distal pancreatectomy. Undoubtedly, application of LDP with spleen extraction is an easier technique. There is always probability of infectious complications and sepsis, which might be fatal (5). Therefore, spleen has to be preserved as long as there is no indication for splenectomy. In this article, we aimed to present two cases that underwent spleen preserving laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy (SPLDP) and review the details of this technique.

CASE PRESENTATIONS

Case 1

A 50-year-old female presented with dyspepsia and bloating complaints for the last 3-4 months. An abdominal computerized tomography (CT) revealed a large cystic mass (75x82 mm) with calcifications, located in the tail of the pancreas, which resulted in her referral to our clinic (Figure 1). She had no medical illness and physical examination was normal. The radiologists classified the lesion as potentially malignant based on its dimension and papillary projections within the cyst. A laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy was planned, without any further evaluation. Her preoperative test results were normal except for anemia, also the glucose level was 160 mg/dL, and tumor markers were normal.
Technique: The surgeon was placed in the middle between the patient’s legs, with the patient lying supine and in reverse Trendelenburg position. Three 5 mm, and two 12 mm ports were used. The first port was introduced through the umbilicus under direct vision, a 30° telescope was used. Following exploration the gastrocolic ligament was opened with LigaSure (Covidien®), the gastroepiploic arcade was preserved. The stomach was lifted against the abdominal wall and anterior pancreas was fully visualized. The Fredet fascia (between the pancreas and mesocolon) was opened and tail of the pancreas was mobilized towards the spleen. During these manipulations, the large cystic mass was mobilized in the up-down or medial-lateral directions. The splenic artery and vein was visualized in the junction of the body and the tail at the upper border of the pancreas. The splenic vein was injured during dissection, therefore both the artery and the vein were ligated. The short gastric vessels were preserved, thus a splenectomy was not considered (Figure 2). The pancreas was transected at the level of body-tail junction with an Endo GIA (Figure 3). The stapled pancreatic stump was re-enforced with sutures by “Endo-stitch” (Covidien®) (Figure 4). After the lesion was freed from its attachments to the spleen, the 12 mm port was replaced by an 18 mm port. An endobag was introduced. In order not to make a larger skin incision, the contents of the cyst was aspirated by a syringe. The lesion was easily extracted in this endobag afterwards (Figure 5). A surgical drain was inserted. The operating time was 190 minutes.

Oral diet was initiated on the 2nd postoperative day, the drain removed on the 4th and the patient was discharged on the 7th. The specimen was reported to be a solid-papillary neoplasia by pathologists. The abdominal CT did not show any problem regarding the viability of the spleen, infarct or abscess on the 3rd postoperative month (Figure 6). The magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) did not show any pathology in the
6th postoperative month. Her follow-up period of 18 months was uneventful.

Case 2
A 75 year old female complained of bloating and constipation. The abdominal CT showed a well-defined, 35x32 mm lesion in the pancreatic tail, with a differential diagnosis of island cell tumor (Figure 7). She had a prior thyroidectomy and c-section, she was currently receiving medication for hypertension. Routine pre-operative examinations and tests were done.

The patient underwent a SPLDP as described in the first case. The pancreatic stump was re-enforced with intracorporeal sutures over the staple line using 2/0 polypropylene, for prophylaxis of pancreatic fistula. The operation time was 135 minutes. Her drain was removed on the 2nd postoperative day, she had complaints of abdominal distension on the 4th day and the ultrasonography revealed a fluid collection in the pancreatotomy site, in the dimension of 5x3cm. This collection was followed-up with no requirement for an additional intervention. The patient was discharged in a week after conservative therapy. Her ultrasonography done after 2 weeks showed that the collection was regressed, the 3rd month control revealed no pathology within the abdomen, the spleen was normal. The pathology was reported as serous cystic adenoma. Her follow-up of 12 months was uneventful.

DISCUSSION
Gagner and Pomp (1) performed the first laparoscopic pancreas resection in humans. An increasing number of cases and studies regarding laparoscopic pancreatic resection have been reported in the literature since then (6). Although the comparative studies include small numbers of cases it is stated that in comparison to open surgery LDP shows all advantages of minimally invasive procedures like shorter length of hospital stay, quicker return to daily activities, earlier oral intake and less requirement of analgesics (3, 7). Jayaraman et al. (4) reported their results on comparing 107 LDP with 236 open distal pancreatectomies, and found out that the length of hospital stay and blood loss (150 cc vs. 350 cc) was significantly less in the laparoscopic group, but the operation time was longer (193 min vs. 164 min). The operation time in our two cases was 190 and 135 minutes.

In our first case, the pathologic evaluation revealed a cystic-solitary papillary neoplasm. Frantz first defined this very rare tumor in 1959 (8). Cystic-papillary neoplasm may have a malignant behaviour although they are generally benign. Their frequency in exocrine pancreas tumors is 1-2%. They are 10 times more frequent in females. They may be seen during any period of life, being most frequent in the 3rd decade (9). The other case was diagnosed as serous cystic adenoma. Based on the facts that, we do not have the resources to perform endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS) and EUS guided biopsy in our clinics, the old age of the patient and the dimension of the lesion surgery was planned directly. Hwang et al. (10) state that, minimally invasive surgery should be considered as the first treatment option in tumors less than 2cm in an advanced age or in tumors that are 3-5 cm in size even when they are asymptomatic, as these carry malignant potential, no matter how low the malign potential is in serous cystic adenomas.
Distal pancreatectomy is an easier laparoscopic approach than pancreatoduodenectomy with its lack of requirement of a biliary or enteric anastomosis. During this operation, attention must be given to preservation of the splenic artery and vein. Since the spleen also receives supply from the short gastric vessels, the spleen can still be preserved even when these vascular structures are injured or in technical. This technique is named as Warshaw technique when the operation is done with ligation of the splenic vessels (11). In parallel, in our cases although the splenic vein and the artery are ligated there were no problems in spleen vascularization during the operation or any spleen abscess or infarcts during follow-up. In malignancies, distal pancreatectomy must be performed together with splenectomy, based on oncologic principals.

Laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy is accepted as a safe minimal invasive technique especially applicable to benign tumors in many studies, nevertheless pancreatic fistulas remain to be an issue. In different series high rates varying between 8-28% have been reported (12, 13). This ratio is high in comparison to open series (14). Baker et al. (12) related this high ratio to their not performing additional steps to close the pancreatic duct on the stapler line and in their series the rate of fistula in open surgeries was 14%, and in LDP 22%. Kleef et al. (15) showed that the fistula rate is highest in the group that pancreatic stump was closed with staple as compared to sutures, seromuscular patch or pancreaticojejunal anastomosis. In another study usage of serguard assisted stapler is shown to decrease fistula rates (16). In our cases, in order to prevent formation of pancreatic fistula, after transection of the pancreas with an Endo GIA stapler, we used a third layer of sutures with either ‘Endostich’ or intracorporeal polypropylene sutures. Although there were only two cases, we did not encounter any pancreatic fistulas. In studies and our practice, the main concern is the technique of pancreatic stump closure. Nevertheless, it is well documented in the literature that patient related factors such as obesity, presence of diabetes and cigarette smoking are contributing factors for the formation of pancreatic fistula (17).

Laparoscopic procedures have the advantage of smaller incisions but in general, these incisions need to be enlarged for the removal/extraction of the specimen. In our first case, we aspirated the contents of the cyst of 7x8 cm size within the ‘endobag’, therefore shrinking the volume. The port size was 18 mm. The degenerated cyst and the soft pancreatic tissue were extracted from this incision without necessity of further enlargement. One of the main reasons for conversion to open surgery is tumor size (>3) cm. We believe this practical approach to cystic lesions will be helpful in this type of laparoscopic surgery (4).

CONCLUSION
Laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy is a safe method with the benefits of laparoscopic surgery. The short gastric vessels will be sufficient for the viability of the spleen if splenic artery and vein are ligated. The procedure can be completed without further enlarging the incision by the technique we described, with aspiration of the cystic masses within the endobag during extraction.
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